# WASHINGTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING October 5, 2020

October 5, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. virtually using Zoom software. No physical meeting took place. 19 pandemic, the Washington County Planning Commission held its regular monthly meeting on Monday Due to current social meeting restrictions put in place by the Governor of Maryland because of the COVID

Chief of Plan Review. Administrative Assistant; Washington County Department of Plan Review & Permitting: Rebecca Calimer, Washington County Department of Planning & Zoning: Jeremiah Weddle and Ex-officio County Commissioner Randall Wagner. Staff members present were: Planning Commission members present were: Clint Wiley, Dennis Reeder, Robert Goetz, David Kline on County Department of Planning & Zoning: Jill Baker, Director; Jennifer Kinzer, Deputy. Travis Allen, Comprehensive Planner; Meghan Jenkins, GIS Analyst; and Debra Eckard, Analyst; and Debra

Lane]; and William Wantz County Soil Conservation District; Britt Rife, Theresa Thoms and Patti Reynolds [17165 Other attendees included: Ed Schreiber, Frederick, Seibert & Associates; Elmer Weibley, Washington Black Stallion

### CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

#### MINUTES

**Motion and Vote:** Mr. Reeder made a motion to approve the minutes of the August 31, 2020 regular meeting as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Weddle and unanimously approved.

### PUBLIC INPUT MEETING

# Solid Waste Management & Recycling Plan Amendment [SW-20-001]

comments during this evening's meeting. The proposed amendment has been reviewed and approved by the Maryland Department of the Environment. No written comments have been received and no citizens requested time to make three buildings that meet that definition. Typically, those businesses already have recycling plans in place. Recycling Plan to include Office Building Recycling. She explained that during the last General Assembly, legislation was passed for Office Building Recycling Plans. The definition in the legislation applies to office buildings that have 150,000 square feet or more of office space. Currently, Washington County only has Ms. Baker presented a proposed amendment to the Washington County Solid Waste Management and

Discussion and Comments: Mr. Reeder asked who would monitor compliance with the recycling plans. Washington County will not be responsible to inspect the businesses. Baker stated that monitoring would be achieved through end-of-the-year reporting

Commissioners of the proposed amendment as presented. The motion was seconded by Mr. Goetz and unanimously approved with Commissioner Wagner abstaining from the vote. Motion and Vote: Mr. Weddle made a motion to recommend approval to the Board of County

### -NEW BUSINESS

### FOREST CONSERVATION

# Jone L. Bowman Subdivision [S-20-025]

planted to meet mitigation requirements. The planting of the 1.44 acres was never completed approval of a 4 lot subdivision. At that time, 1.25 acres of forest was retained and 1.44 acres was near Porters Lane and Exline Road. In 2000, a wishes to move the 1.44 acre forest easement area to an off-site location owned by Mr. Don Bowman Interchange) to RT (Residential Transition) approved by the Board of County Commissioners. The applicant 11111 and 11115 Hopewell Road. These properties were part of a recent rezoning from HI (Highway Mr. Allen presented a request to relocate a 1.44 acre forest easement from its current location at 11107, 2.69-acre forest easement was created as part of the

bond and maintain a planting area for two years. ability to establish new forest. He believes that it would be burdensome for the applicant to obtain a forest easement. It was noted there are invasive plants in the easement area that would adversely impact the there is a greater environmental effect achieved by adding to an existing established off-site forest professional, Shannon Stotler of Frederick, Seibert & Associates. Mr. Stotler expressed his opinion that part of the applicant's justification for moving the easement, a letter was provided from a qualified

water quality, air quality, etc. ecosystem services provided to the area that are no longer available, such as storm water management, any new forest. The loss of on-site forest or the opportunity for creating new forest can lead to lost for this site. Mr. Allen noted that by moving the easement off-site, the applicant would not be creating in Article 10 of the Forest Conservation Ordinance's "Preferred Sequence of Mitigation" are not possible relocating the easement: 1) why the current plan is not feasible, and 2) why the other techniques outlined Mr. Allen stated that as part of the request, the applicant should demonstrate two key elements for

previously approved is still feasible and that obtaining a forest bond would not pose an undo burden on listed in the "Preferred Sequence of Mitigation". Staff believes that the Forest Conservation Plan that was are large enough to accommodate on-site plantings. The applicant did not address any other alternatives this case, the easement is located in an area that would not be disturbed during construction and the lots easements on lots owned by several different individuals due to size constraints of the lots. However, in Travis noted that typically the County does not encourage the establishment of on-site forest

site as proposed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kline and unanimously approved Motion and Vote: Mr. Reeder made a motion to approve the request to move the forest easement off-

# **Soil Conservation Easement Candidates**

easements on three individual properties. The three properties are as follows: Weibly presented a request to use funds from the Forest Conservation program to purchase

- Dirk and Nancy DeVault, 16315 & 16323 Shinham Road, 20.73 acres
- 3) Gary and Brenda Beachley, Lots 1 and 2, Park Hall Road, 19.16 acres
- Jeffery and Susan Lescalleet, 10701 Shanktown Road, 40.44 acres

was seconded by Mr. Kline and unanimously approved with Commissioner Wagner abstaining from the Commissioners to use Forest Conservation funds to purchase the easements as presented. The motion Motion and Vote: Mr. Reeder made a motion to recommend approval to the Board of County

### OTHER BUSINESS

# 17165 Black Stallion Lane

facility to a proposed transitional or shelter care facility located at 17165 Black Stallion Lane. The property is currently zoned A(R) with RB floating zone (Agricultural, Rural with Rural Business floating zone). There is no site plan on file for the assisted living facility. Calimer, on behalf of Mr. Holloway, presented a request for a change of use from an assisted living

applicant contends that this is not a significant change in the use of the property from an assisted living district. The developer is proposing a residential addiction, counseling and support services facility. The Mr. Britt Rife, representing the contract purchaser, stated that the proposed use is permitted in the zoning

facility is also being purchased by the developer. Mr. Rife stated it is not being purchased as part of this this facility and confirmed by Theresa Thoms. Mr. Reeder asked if the residential property behind the handle the proposed 72 bed facility. Mr. Rife stated that currently there are only 48 beds proposed for stated that a new state-of-the-art conventional septic system was recently installed on this property by CR Semler. Commissioner Wagner expressed his concern that the septic system might not be able **Discussion and Comments:** Commissioner Wagner asked if public sewer serves this site. Mr. Reeder

seconded by Mr. Weddle Motion: Mr. Reeder made a motion to approve the change in use as a 48 bed facility. The motion was

use to a 48 bed residential addiction facility is a significant change from the 48 bed assisted living facility. Clarification: Ms. Baker stated that the request before the Commission is to determine if the change of

change in use and he believes public input is needed. He believes there are several issues that could lead that the public should have the opportunity to comment on the proposed use. motion was withdrawn. Mr. Kline clarified that he is not opposed to the proposed facility but he believes to unintended consequences. Discussion and Comments before the Vote: Mr. Kline expressed his opinion that this is a significant Mr. Reeder and Mr. Weddle concurred with Mr. Kline and the previous

Mr. Goetz and Commissioner Wagner abstaining from the vote. hearing should be required. The motion was seconded by Mr. Weddle and unanimously approved with Motion and Vote: Mr. Kline made a motion that the proposed change in use is significant and a public

# **Update of Staff Approvals**

forwarded to members prior to the meeting. Mr. Holloway was not present at the meeting; however, he provided a copy of his report that was

# CLOSED SESSION [7:50 p.m.]

appointment to the Planning Commission to fill a current vacancy. discuss potential candidates to be recommended to the Board Q, County Commissioners for

### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Weddle made a motion to adjourn the meeting at  $8.15\,$  p.m. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kline and so ordered by the Chairman.

### UPCOMING MEETINGS

Monday, November 2, 2020, 7:00 p.m. – Washington County Planning Commission regular meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Clint Wiley, Chairman