

WASHINGTON
COUNTY,
MARYLAND

2017

LAND
PRESERVATION,
PARKS AND
RECREATION

PLAN



Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
General Geographic Information	3
Geology and Soils	5
Forest Resources	5
General Population and Demographic Information	6
Population	6
Households	7
Socio-Economic Characteristics	8
Age Cohorts	8
Per Capita and Household Income Characteristics	9
Overview of County Protected Lands	9
Parks and Recreation Facilities	10
Natural Resource Protection Areas	11
Agricultural Land Preservation	12
PARKS AND RECREATION	12
Overview of the Parks and Recreation System in Washington County	13
County Goals and Objectives for Parks, Facilities and Recreation Programs	14
The County Park system shall consist of a balance of Neighborhood, Community and Regional Parks.	14
Locate recreational facilities for the convenience and benefit of the most people.	15
Provide a safe and secure environment for the users of the County Parkland System.	15
Coordinate recreational programming to meet the diversified needs of County citizens.	15
Provide an economic strategy for acquisition, construction, operation and maintenance of a centrally located multi-use facility.	15
State Goals for Parks and Recreation	16
Maryland Project Green Classrooms (Maryland Partnership for Children in Nature)	17
Program Implementation	17
Implementation of Previous Plan	18
Planning	19
Land Acquisition and Facility Development	20
Regulatory Land Development Ordinances	22
Joint Use Agreements	23
Inventory of Existing Public Parks and Recreation Facilities	23
Measuring User Demand	25
Public Engagement and Outreach	25
Usage, Demands, and Participation Rates	28
Parks	28
Parks with League Play	28
Recreation Programs	29



Level of Service Analysis	32
Park Equity Analysis	32
Park Proximity Analysis	34
Access Analysis.....	35
Conclusions	39
Priorities and Recommendations.....	40
Parkland Acquisition	40
Greenways/Rail Trails/Water Trails	40
Joint Use of School Facilities	41
Bicycling and Pedestrian Safety and Health.....	41
Community Recreation Centers.....	42
Participation Survey	42
NATURAL RESOURCE LAND CONSERVATION.....	43
Executive Summary.....	43
Goals for Natural Resource Land Conservation	44
Inventory of Protected Natural Resource Lands and Mapping	46
Implementation of Resource Management.....	47
Implementation of Previous Plan	47
Comprehensive Plan	48
Special Planning Areas	49
Sensitive Areas Element.....	49
Watershed Management Programs.....	50
Forest Resource Management Programs	51
Streams and Floodplains.....	52
Subdivision Ordinance/Zoning Ordinance	53
Floodplain Management Ordinance	53
Habitat and Wildlife	54
AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION AND EASEMENT ACQUISITION PROGRAMS	57
Executive Summary.....	57
Agricultural Industry in Washington County.....	57
Goals for Agricultural Land Preservation	58
Implementation Programs and Services	59
Implementation of Previous Plan	59
Land Use Management	63
APPENDICIES	66



INTRODUCTION

The *Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan* is one of several functional plans that support the goals and objectives of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the County. The purpose of this plan is to focus on current open space opportunities, analyze future impacts from growth, and develop a coordinated plan to address future open space needs. This is important for several reasons including:

Public Investment: Limited funding and high demand for open space and recreation areas, make it imperative that investments made in park lands and recreation programs are as cost effective as possible.

Resource Protection: Recreation and resource protection can be mutually supportive activities. There are ways to integrate passive and active recreational activities into areas that can also be reserved for resource protection as in the C & O Canal Towpath. This area provides opportunities for active recreation while serving as an invaluable buffer to the Potomac River in terms of flooding and bank erosion.

Social Integration: Recreational activities provide an outlet for people with similar interests to come together and socialize. Long range park plans should contain suitable flexibility to respond to changing social and economic demographics while not losing sight of long range established goals.

Health and Wellness: More and more people within the United States are becoming overweight. With health issues like heart disease and diabetes on the rise, it is important to provide open space areas and recreational programs for people to play and exercise.

Access and Functionality: Rising gasoline prices place special emphasis on park accessibility to and from residential neighborhoods. Changing interests over time have been reflected in the changes in the expectations of park users. Parks with passive uses, playgrounds, tennis courts and athletic fields meet some user's needs, while other users expect dedicated walking and fitness paths, bicycle trails, dog parks, and horse trails.

The Comprehensive Plan for the County is a land use plan that recognizes the need to preserve the county's unique character, protect the environment, and enhance those economic opportunities that are connected to agriculture, history, and tourism.

Note to Readers: All of the maps embedded in this Plan are included in Appendix A as full-size graphics for better clarity.

General Geographic Information

Washington County is one of four counties commonly described as "Western Maryland". It is bounded to the East by Frederick County; the North by Pennsylvania (Mason-Dixon Line); to the West by Allegany County, and the South by the Potomac River. There are nine (9) incorporated municipalities




located within the County. Hagerstown, the County seat, is located approximately 70 miles northwest of Baltimore and Washington DC and 165 miles southeast of Pittsburgh, and is the largest municipality within the County. A detailed description of the topography is available in the Comprehensive Plan for Washington County.

The County contains 467 square miles (298,282 acres), of which approximately 455 square miles are land. There are over 100 miles of shoreline along the Potomac River. There are no natural lakes. The terrain consists of ridges and broad valleys running in a northeast/southwest direction.

The Great Valley, also called the **Great Appalachian Valley** or **Great Valley Region**, is one of the major landform features of eastern North America. It is a gigantic trough – a chain of valley lowlands – and the central feature of the Appalachian Mountain system. The trough stretches about 1200 miles

from Quebec to Alabama and has been an important north-south route of travel since prehistoric times. Washington County contains the Maryland part of the Great Valley and is geologically diverse, including parts of two physiographic provinces – the Blue Ridge and the Ridge and Valley. (See Map 1) South Mountain and Elk Ridge, extending north to south along the eastern boundary of the County, are the westernmost



Map 1: Physiographic Provinces

the westernmost extent of the Blue Ridge province. The Hagerstown Valley extends from the west base of South Mountain to Fairview Mountain west of Clear Spring, where the small ridges and valleys begin and run to the west as part of the Ridge and Valley physiographic province (See Map 1). Elevations range from Quirack Mountain at 2,145 feet in the northeast corner of the County, to 300 feet above sea level in the southern end of the Hagerstown Valley near the Potomac River.

Slopes are steepest along the eastern border of Washington County, and in the areas between Licking Creek and Little Conococheague Creek; along the Little Tonoloway Creek; and along Sideling Hill Creek. More than half of the land area of the County is in the Hagerstown Valley, which is, to the greatest extent, gently rolling. Nearly 30% of the County’s total land area has slopes greater than 15% with an additional 8,000 acres on slopes above 30%.



Geology and Soils

The surface rock strata and most of the subsurface rock in the County consist of limestone, shale and sandstone. The Hagerstown Valley is underlain mostly by relatively soluble limestone and shows evidence of the sinkholes and caverns associated with karst geology. As a result, the County has the largest number of known caves in Maryland. The narrower valleys are underlain mostly by shale while the ridges are formed by resistant sandstone or quartzite.

The topography of the County varies greatly due to its physiographic location. The Hagerstown Valley, which includes over half the land area of the County, is primarily flat with gently rolling hills. The eastern border of the County along South Mountain, as well as the beginning of the Ridge and Valley system starting at Fairview Mountain west of Clear Spring contain the steepest slopes in the County. Slopes are also steep along most of the creek beds in the County due to years of erosion as the streams meandered.


The best quality soils for agriculture are primarily located in the Great (Hagerstown) Valley region of the County extending from the base of South Mountain west to Clear Spring. Areas of high quality soils near Clear Spring and in the southern part of the County, east and south of Sharpsburg have also been targeted for protection through a variety of agricultural preservation easement programs.

Forest Resources

Before settlement and farming began, most of the County was covered with hardwood forest. Now, the significant remaining forested areas are along South Mountain and in the western portion of the County. Forests are primarily located on steep slopes including the Elk Ridge and Red Hill areas in the south end of the County, the ridges north and west of Clear Spring, and the ridges west of Hancock. Additional forested areas are in the Hagerstown Valley where the land is too rocky or steep for development or farming.

Bottomland forests are found along the fertile floodplains of Conococheague and Antietam Creeks, and along the Potomac River. Most of the forest is the Oak-Hickory type (75%). Remaining forest is classified as Oak/Pine (12.5%), Elm/Ash/Red Maple (6.7%) and northern hardwoods (5.6%).

Forested resource land, including commercial forest and local, State and Federal forest preserves comprise 35.9% of the County or approximately 107,300 acres. State owned forest land is extensive; over 9,000 acres are located along South Mountain protecting the Appalachian Trail corridor and containing several State parks. Significant areas of State owned forest are also located in the western end of the County, including 6,300 acres in the Indian Springs area and over 3,000 acres in the Sideling Hill Wildlife Management Area (WMA) (See Map 2). The City owned areas of the Edgemont Watershed on South Mountain preserve include approximately 2,040 acres of woodlands for water supply, open space, and limited recreational uses. Approximately 7,800 acres are protected along the east bank of the Potomac River, within the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park.



Map 2: Natural Features of Washington County, MD

General Population and Demographic Information

Population

Washington County is made up of a diverse community of approximately 147,430 people.¹ This is an increase in population of 15,507 people (or 11.75%) since the 2000 Census. According to the 2002 Comprehensive Plan, the County was predicted only to grow to about 140,800 people (or 6.7%). These predictions were based on historic growth rates in the County along with decreases in household size and higher amounts of housing stock.

Population projections have been very difficult to evaluate over the last decade due to heavy fluctuations in the economy and housing markets. While the economy flourished during the end of the 1990's and into the beginning of the 2000's, the housing market also flourished bringing in a much higher number of new citizens than previously predicted. In the mid 2000's, the economy and housing markets began to dramatically decrease. These intense fluctuations in a short period of time have made

¹ US Census Bureau, 2010 Census




Figure 1: Population Projections 2010-2040; Washington County, MD

land use planning very difficult to predict. It appears that these fluctuations are beginning to flatten out into a more predictable pattern. According to the Maryland Department of Planning, Washington County is projected to increase by another 46,020 people (or 31.2%) between 2010 and 2040 (See Figure 1). The County Planning Department has also developed population projections through the next 20-year timeframe. The projections closely mimic those of the State through 2030 but slightly diverge through the 2040-year timeframe. The County projection shows an increase in population of approximately 53,144 people (or 36%) through 2040.

Households

The standard economic definition of a household is the number of persons living together in one housing unit. More simply, a household is equivalent to any occupied housing unit. Households are broken down into family (where residents are related to primary householder through birth, marriage or adoption) and non-family (where residents are unrelated). This statistic is important in understanding the demand for types of living quarters and estimating population fluctuations based on new unit development.

According to the 2010 Census, Washington County has approximately 55,687 households of which 37,662, or 67.4%, are family households. A breakdown of household types is shown in Table 1.

Households in Washington County - 2015				
Household Type	Children in Household?		Total	% of Total Households
	Yes	No		
Family Households				
Married Couple Families	10,652	17,291	27,943	49.8%
Male Householder, No Wife	1,332	1,255	2,587	4.6%
Female Householder, No Husband	4,266	2,979	7,245	12.9%
Subtotal	16,250	21,525	37,775	67.4%
Non-Family Households				
	2,744	15,548	18,292	32.6%
Totals	18,994	37,073	56,067	100.0%

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2015 estimates

Table 1: Households in Washington County, MD (2015)



Socio-Economic Characteristics

Male vs. Female

	2000	2010	2020	2030	2040
Male – County	51.1%	50.8%	51.1%	51.3%	50.1%
Female – County	48.9%	49.2%	48.9%	48.7%	49.9%
Male – State	48.3%	48.4%	48.3%	48.4%	48.1%
Female State	51.7%	51.6%	51.7%	51.6%	51.9%

Source: US Census Bureau, 2010 & Maryland Department of Planning

Table 2: Gender Characteristics of Washington County, (MD 2000-2014)


White vs. Non-White

	2000	2010	2020	2030	2040
White – County	90.2%	87.4%	84.7%	82.7%	71.3%
Non-White – County	9.8%	12.6%	15.3%	17.3%	28.6%
White – State	66.0%	60.4%	60.5%	58.5%	43.8%
Non-White - State	34.0%	39.6%	39.5%	41.5%	56.2%

Source: US Census Bureau, 2010 & Maryland Department of Planning

Table 3: Characteristics of Race in Washington County, MD (2000-2040)

Age Cohorts



Source: US Census Bureau, 2010 & Maryland Department of Planning

Figure 2: Age Cohorts of Washington County, MD (2000-2040)



Per Capita and Household Income Characteristics

Household Income Characteristics for Washington County and Maryland				
	Washington County		Maryland	
Total Households	56,067	100.0%	2,166,389	100.0%
Income Range				
Less than \$10,000	3,051	5.4%	110,926	5.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	2,694	4.8%	71,461	3.3%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	6,359	11.3%	149,200	6.9%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	5,431	9.7%	156,267	7.2%
\$35,000 to \$49,000	7,570	13.5%	230,782	10.7%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11,332	20.2%	370,180	17.1%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	7,410	13.2%	289,546	13.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	7,685	13.7%	394,212	18.2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	2,662	4.7%	194,589	9.0%
\$200,000 or more	1,813	3.3%	199,226	9.2%
Median Household Income	\$54,606		\$73,851	

Source: US Census Bureau & MD Department of Planning, 2014 estimates

Table 4: Household Income Characteristics for Washington County, MD

Overview of County Protected Lands

As previously stated in this Plan, there are many benefits that protected lands and parklands provide to the citizens of the State and the County. These amenities provide a better quality of life for citizens by: providing areas for recreational activities that creates a healthier community; protecting resource lands to sustain viable food systems and ecosystems; providing job opportunities and injecting money into local and regional economies; and providing areas for future generations to thrive and grow. The County attempts to achieve these objectives through various programs of land and resource protection.


There are three primary areas of open space protections that are evaluated in this document:

- Parks and Recreation
- Natural Resource Protection
- Agricultural Land Preservation

Through a combination of various financial and development incentives, the County has made great strides in protecting these various types of activities. Each of these areas will be discussed in more detail throughout the document; however, a short primer has been included here to help citizens understand the different aspects of land preservation across the County. The Protected Lands Map (Map 3) provides an overview of the protected lands and recreational areas in Washington County. A full size map is included in Appendix A.



Photo 1: Agricultural Lands in Washington County, MD



Map 3: Protected Lands of Washington County, Maryland

Parks and Recreation Facilities

Currently the County has a large system of Federal, State, and local parks that provide varying degrees of active and passive recreation. Typically, parkland and recreational opportunities are located on publicly owned lands rather than on private property. As shown on the Protected Lands map the overwhelming majority (nearly 35,000 acres) of our open space protections are in the form of governmentally owned lands. While these areas have the general connotation of being “park” land, they serve multiple purposes.

There are three Federal Park systems located within Washington County: Antietam National Battlefield, Harper’s Ferry National Historical Park, and the Chesapeake and Ohio National Historical Park. The primary intent of each of these parks is to protect historical aspects of the area through education and historical interpretation programs. They also make some limited recreational opportunities available such as biking, hiking, camping, horseback riding, and walking/jogging trails.

The State of Maryland also owns and maintains lands in Washington County that includes eight (8) State Parks and three (3) Wildlife Management Areas. The State Parks include Fort Frederick, Gathland, Greenbrier, South Mountain (includes Appalachian Trail), South Mountain Battlefield, Washington Monument, Woodmont, and the Western Maryland Rail Trail. Wildlife Management Areas



include Indians Springs, Sideling Hill, and Prather's Neck. There is a wide variety of passive and active recreational opportunities in these areas including biking, hiking, camping, horseback riding, walking/jogging, swimming, and playgrounds.

At a County level, there are approximately seventeen (17) separate parks that are owned and maintained through the County Department of Park and Facilities. They include the Agricultural Education Center, Black Rock Golf Course (includes the Regional Park), Camp Harding, Chestnut Grove, Clear Spring, Devil's Backbone, Doub's Woods, Kemp's Mill, Marty Snook, Mt. Briar Wetland Preserve, Pen Mar, Pinesburg Softball Complex, Piper Lane, Pleasant Valley, Wilson Bridge, and Woodland Way. These areas offer more active recreational opportunities such as sports fields (soccer, baseball, football, softball, etc.), playgrounds, golf courses, and courts (basketball, tennis, volleyball, etc.). Also included but not specifically depicted on the map, are different public-school locations where agreements have been coordinated between the County and the local Board of Education whereby various indoor facilities such as gymnasiums are being used by the County Recreation Department to support other local recreation programs.



Photo 2: Albert Powell State Fish Hatchery

Finally, there are nine (9) incorporated municipalities within the County that also provide parks and recreational opportunities to residents within their boundaries and within the County. Those municipalities include the City of Hagerstown (21 parks) and the Towns of Boonsboro (1 park), Clear Spring (no municipal parks but 1 County park); Funkstown (1 park), Hancock (3 parks), Keedysville (1 park), Sharpsburg (1 park), Smithsburg (2 parks), and Williamsport (2 parks). These areas also serve a similar purpose to those of the County by providing active and passive recreation areas.

Natural Resource Protection Areas

First and foremost, it is the purpose of these areas to protect land and/or related water areas for which natural resource protection, conservation or management is of primary importance. But along with protection of these resources, it can provide opportunities for limited types of recreation such as hiking,

Natural Resource Protection Areas often serve a multi-purpose effort in land protection and preservation goals.

camping, hunting, etc. A prime example of these areas are in the Wildlife Management Areas established by the State. While established primarily for the protection of habitat for local wildlife the areas also provide limited recreation opportunities.

Like the parkland areas of the County, many of the larger Natural Resource Protection Areas are located on publicly owned lands. However, there are also numerous voluntary programs currently being implemented in the County to apply permanent easements on private property to protect our natural resources. These programs will be discussed in greater detail later in the document and include:



- Rural Legacy
- Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)
- Mid-Maryland Land Trust (MMLT)
- Maryland Environmental Trust (MET)
- Other scenic and environmental easement programs

One other point of interest that does not appear on the protected lands map is the effort of private conservation and special interest groups in the County that work to obtain easements or development restrictions on private property. Groups such as The Nature Conservancy, Save Historic Antietam Foundation, Civil War Trust, Maryland Historic Trust, etc. work independently of most government entities but also work closely with them to ensure their goals for preservation and conservation are aligned and that efforts are not duplicated or inefficient.

Agricultural Land Preservation

Unlike the other two areas of land preservation efforts, Agricultural Land Preservation focuses attention specifically on conservation of prime farmland through easements on private property. These programs center on paying farmers to extinguish development rights so that farmers can keep their businesses financially viable without needing to resort to subdivision of building lots as a means of income. This creates a win-win situation by extinguishing development potential that could lead to long term sprawl and infrastructure issues for the County and also providing support to the farming industry by providing another source of income for the farmer to invest into the business.

There are two primary programs that are used to achieve our agricultural land preservation goals; agricultural districts and permanent easements. These will be described in greater detail later in the document; but basically, if a farmer is interested in selling an easement to the County they must first apply for an agricultural district. The district is a semi-permanent type of easement by which the property owners agree that they will not develop their property for a minimum of 10 years and in exchange for that concession, the County provides a property tax break on the land. Once in the district, a property owner may then apply for a permanent easement. This process is currently very competitive and some farmers may wait years for funding to become available for the purchase of their easement.

Because these types of programs are voluntary, they can also be sporadic. As seen on the Protected Lands Map, the agricultural districts have been applied on properties all over the rural area. However, when the County seeks to officially purchase an easement a more in-depth analysis of the property takes place to ensure that funds are being spent as efficiently as possible and that large areas of contiguous land are being created to help support the agricultural industry. On the map there is a clear delineation of three primary areas the County is focused on for these types of easements: prime farmlands north and east of Clear Spring, north of Smithsburg, and south of Williamsport.



Overview of the Parks and Recreation System in Washington County

Parks and recreation facilities in Washington County are situated to provide a variety of locations and facilities to suit the interests of County residents and visitors. A combination of Federal, State, and local parks along with a few private organizational parks provide opportunities throughout the County for active and passive recreational activities as well as areas of natural resource protection, historic preservation, and social interaction.



Photo 3: Pavilion Facility at Devil's Backbone Park

Public parks, recreational amenities and their associated programs provide benefits to County residents and visitors on many levels. Organized, scheduled programs can remove the final obstacle for people who desire to



Photo 4: View of the Hagerstown Valley from Pen Mar Park

increase physical activity, become more social, find new friends, etc., but have not because they did not have the time to plan for them, or have access to facilities via any other means. For many, the availability of public green space is an asset. Frequent exposure to nature, even in a passive way, has been shown to have positive effects. Parklands also provide safe, pleasant alternatives to the jogger, walker, biker, who has developed a fitness regimen on their own, and prefers this setting to developed areas.

Most of the parklands under the ownership and direction of Federal and State governments provide more passive type recreational opportunities such as hiking/walking/biking trails, picnic areas, playgrounds, camping areas, and educational facilities such as nature centers or museums. These areas also support environmental conservation and natural resource protection goals by maintaining areas in a mostly primitive state with low to moderate impacts from human usage.

Local and municipal parks are primarily focused toward more active forms of recreation such as play fields, hard court sports, playgrounds, swimming pools, and indoor recreation centers. These areas can also have a duality about them for conservation and educational purposes.

The Washington County Recreation Department provides individuals in Washington County the opportunity to enjoy affordable recreation and fitness classes with programs for both youth and adults which support a healthy lifestyle. The department offers more than 30 individual or seasonal programs including the popular Summer




Photo 5: Black Rock Golf Course



Outdoor Music Series, heritage based educational programs, a wide range of fitness based programs, and many organized leagues for all ages. Most are offered in public parks and in County school facilities.

Washington County owns and maintains 18 parks or recreational facilities. Maintenance is planned and performed by the Parks and Facilities Department, which is a section of the Division of Public Works. As outlined in the parks inventory in Appendix B of this document, most of the County



parcs contain picnic and playground areas. Many of the parks also include active field/court based recreation opportunities. The County is also unique in that it owns and operates an award winning 18-hole golf course, Black Rock Golf Course.

While the County has many opportunities for active field sport activities, there are some gaps in local natural resource based recreation opportunities. The County continues to work with private property owners to locate public access to local waterways, and therefore, provide more opportunities for activities such as fishing and kayaking.

Figure 3: Public Recreation Lands in Washington County, MD

In total, Washington County has a total of approximately 32,625 acres of public parklands and natural resources lands. As shown in Figure 3, most of parkland and natural resource lands are located in either State or Federal parks.

County Goals and Objectives for Parks, Facilities and Recreation Programs

Washington County has been and will continue to be a proactive agency in administering recreational opportunities to its citizens. The Goals and Objectives listed below represent the on-going collaboration of Staff, the Parks Advisory Committee, the Planning Commission, and the Board of County Commissioners to provide exemplary recreational facilities and opportunities in the County.

The County Park system shall consist of a balance of Neighborhood, Community and Regional Parks.

Objectives

- The County will coordinate with local jurisdictions in the location, acquisition and development of parkland to avoid duplication.
- Cooperation with special interest groups such as historical societies, preservation groups, and non-profit organizations, etc., will be emphasized to serve the dual purpose of resource conservation and parkland acquisition.
- The County will consider the implementation of regulations that would require parkland dedication by developers of major residential subdivisions in the County. Alternatives to requiring a dedicated amount of land could be tax incentives, fee reductions, or partial donations with some fee simple acquisition made by the County.



- Joint use agreements between the Board of Education and municipal officials (where appropriate) should continue to be established and refined to make all County schools available for recreation use.

Locate recreational facilities for the convenience and benefit of the most people.

Objectives

- Locate parks and recreational facilities based on a site selection process which includes population distribution, transportation accessibility, anticipated growth as projected in the Comprehensive Plan for the County and which is responsive to the physical requirements of the development program.
- Whenever practical, link parklands and open space by a system of pedestrian/bicycle trails, greenways, and/or waterways.

Provide a safe and secure environment for the users of the County Parkland System.

Objectives

- Whenever practical, walkways, trails and parking areas should be well lit to deter illicit activity; emergency call boxes should be installed in remote areas of parks to assist patrons in case of an emergency.
- Local law enforcement officials should be included in the development of new parkland facilities to provide insight into potential hazards.
- Playground equipment shall be installed to factory specifications, labeled with recommended age ranges and safety information should be displayed within the area of the playground equipment to inform its users of proper usage.
- Conduct a review to determine the condition of existing park and recreational facilities and their compliance with generally accepted standards.

Coordinate recreational programming to meet the diversified needs of County citizens.

Objectives

- Devise County recreational programs to meet the needs of the public and support organized recreation leagues.
- Provide central coordination and direction of organized recreational programs to avoid duplication of services and facilitate the common use of all available resources.
- Provide recreational opportunities for all ages, sexes, abilities, and socio-economic groups.

Provide an economic strategy for acquisition, construction, operation and maintenance of a centrally located multi-use facility.

Objectives

- Create a design for a multi-use facility based on citizen needs and projected uses determined through studies of similar facilities located in areas demographically like Washington County.



- Pursue "sharing" or "host" operation and/or maintenance agreements with special interest groups, leagues, and other organizations.
- Inventory possible sites and prioritize by accessibility, size, purchase price and site-specific costs of development.

In support of the goals and objectives for parks and recreation planning, the Washington County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board has also adopted specific policies to clarify and strengthen the decision-making process for the use and development of County Parks:

1. Recreational facilities should be designed to competition standards to attract league and tournament use.
2. Scheduling and staff support of County recreational facilities should allow for maximum use by groups and organized leagues. Care should be taken to ensure that the needs of the public are also met.
3. Open Space funds should be allocated to projects large enough in scope to benefit a wider spectrum of users.
4. Municipalities and organizations requesting County assistance should submit applications with sufficient information to allow the Parks Board to compare and prioritize projects.
5. A revolving loan fund should be considered to provide low interest loans for municipal recreation projects.
6. Maximum public use should be made of recreation facilities at all public-school sites. Supervision and maintenance assistance should be provided to the Board of Education by the Board of County Commissioners to implement this policy.

State Goals for Parks and Recreation

As mentioned many times already within this document, parks and recreational facilities are a cooperative effort among numerous partners including the public, private conservation organizations, and other governmental organizations. Below are the goals adopted by the State of Maryland about parks and recreation facilities, as well as a short explanation of how the County's goals complement those of the State.

- **Make a variety of quality recreational environments and opportunities readily accessible to all of its citizens and thereby contribute to their physical and mental well-being.** *Washington County parks provide a wide range of environments and facilities which are located throughout the County. Programs offered by the Recreation Department provide opportunities for all ages and skill levels.*
- **Recognize and strategically use parks and recreation facilities as amenities to make communities, counties and the State more desirable places to live, work, play, and visit.** *Aside from the natural beauty evident in the various parks, they offer access for aquatic activities, music appreciation, and as the location for cultural and social events.*
- **Use State investment in parks, recreation, and open space to complement and mutually support the broader goals and objectives of local comprehensive/master plans.** *County use of State and Federal funds has coordinated directly with the Comprehensive Plan for Washington County, and in support of the plans of the various municipalities who have received the funds.*



- **To the greatest degree feasible, ensure that recreational land and facilities for local populations are conveniently located relative to population centers, are accessible without reliance on the automobile, and help to protect natural open spaces and resources.** *Park sites with a larger variety of facilities are situated near population centers. Parks whose main attraction is based on natural amenities are, by their nature, often located at a distance from developed areas. County and State highways are well maintained in these areas, and offer convenient vehicular access; many are also well suited for access by bicycle.*
- **Complement infrastructure and other public investments and priorities in existing communities and areas planned for growth through investment in neighborhood and community parks and facilities.** *Washington County has supported new parkland acquisition and improvements in existing parks in the municipalities through a disproportionate use of open space funds over the past few years.*
- **Continue to protect recreational open space and resource lands at a rate that equals or exceeds the rate that land is developed at a statewide level.** *The projections for population growth currently being promulgated in the update of the Comprehensive Plan for the County, when factored against parkland acquisition plans currently in process, show that Washington County will continue to exceed the required ratio for the period covered by the Comprehensive Plan update.*

Maryland Project Green Classrooms (Maryland Partnership for Children in Nature)

The Maryland Project Green Classrooms project is a renewal and reaffirmation of former Governor O'Malley's Maryland Partnership for Children in Nature. It is a public-private partnership intended to provide opportunities for children to learn more about their local environment and develop their environmental literacy. According to the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, *"The initiative serves as an advisory body, working collectively across multiple disciplines and public and private sectors to identify gaps and barriers, and make recommendations to decision-makers regarding solutions that will bring about change in the areas of environmental literacy, nearby nature, and career pathways for youth."*

Washington County has also fostered the development of environmental literacy through the operation of the Fairview Outdoor Education Center. Since 1979 the Board of Education has provided the opportunity for all 5th grade students to spend a full week at the center to get a hands-on experience with environmental literacy programs. In addition to this program, numerous other middle and high school classrooms participate in sporadic field visits learning about stream health and restoration, forest stand ecology, and wildlife research.

Program Implementation

To support parkland acquisition and park development Washington County uses various methods including public funding, land use regulations, and purchase of property to achieve the goals and meet the needs of the community. These methods are meant to accompany existing State and Federal programs in the County to provide a well-rounded funding plan.



Implementation of Previous Plan

Since the adoption of the 2012 LPPRP the County has made progress toward meeting the goals and recommendations of that Plan. Set out within the adopted 2012 Plan were five primary recommendations established to guide local decisions regarding the improvement of the local park system. A list of these recommendations and an analysis of progress are listed below.

1. Participation Survey – The previous plan recognized a deficiency in the usage of outdated Statewide surveys to analyze the efficiency of the local park system and recommended conducting a more in depth, local survey for the next plan update. A survey was developed by the County Parks and Advisory Board with Staff input as to what data would be beneficial to updating our plan and evaluating the effectiveness of our existing programs and facilities. The survey was then put on the County website in early 2016 and was left open for six months. The survey received over 400 responses and served as a large part of the public outreach efforts for this document. A summary of the results is included in Appendix C of this document.
2. Joint Use of School Facilities – The County has previously recognized an opportunity to partner with the local Board of Education to oversize gymnasiums as part of new school construction. Because the gymnasiums are larger than required by basic standards, the County provides additional funding to school construction costs. In return the Board of Education allows the County to use the facilities for after school, weekend, and summer recreation programs. This partnership has been successful with several schools including Maugansville Elementary, Ruth Ann Monroe Elementary, and Jonathan Hager Elementary all including an oversized gymnasium for these programs. Currently the Board of Education is in the design phase of replacing Sharpsburg Elementary in the southern portion of the County. As with previous plans the gymnasium for the new schools is being designed to be oversized.
3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Activities – Bicycle and pedestrian opportunities have continually been a priority for the County. The positive impact on the health and connectivity of a community is only one of the many benefits of having these types of facilities located in an efficient and safe manner for citizen access.

Since adoption of the last Plan, the City of Hagerstown has made extensive progress in implementing new bicycle routes around the City. In 2014 the City was honored by the League of American Bicyclists by being designated as a bronze level bicycle friendly community. The City has also made improvements to their Hub City Bike Loop; a 10-mile loop around the City that utilizes both on-street bike lanes and multi-use paths to connect several points of interest.



Both the City and the County have pursued grant programs such as Safe Routes to School and Transportation Alternatives Program to upgrade and/or install new sidewalks around several schools that have a large proportion of students who walk to school each day. Examples include areas surrounding Bester Elementary, Salem Avenue Elementary, and Lincolnshire Elementary. This recommendation is continued into this update.



4. Greenways/Rail Trails/Water Trails – Water trails have seen some progress since the last plan. The County has partnered with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to work with private property owners to provide access to waterways such as the Antietam and Conococheague Creeks.



This partnership has been somewhat successful but challenges remain in obtaining easements for access over private property. One success story has been the Kiwanis Park located within the City of Hagerstown. The Kiwanis Club donated land adjacent the Antietam Creek and installed an access ramp for access to the waterway and provide other recreational opportunities.

There is currently one established rail trail in Washington County; the Western Maryland Rail Trail. This facility has continued to gain in popularity since its initial establishment. The State continues to seek funding to extend the rail trail over Sideling Hill Creek into Alleghany County. There is a second potential area that has been proposed as rail trail in the past; the Civil War Rail Trail (aka Weverton/Roxbury Rail Trail). This area is an abandoned CSX rail bed that was purchased by the State of Maryland. No progress has been made on further development of this facility.

The County continues to look for ways to provide greenway connections in and around the Urban Growth Area but opportunities have been limited due to subdued development since the recent recession.

5. Recreation Centers – Through public input this project continues to be a long-term goal of the LPPRP. Progress has been made since the previous plan in the form of a new Senior Center located on the west side of Hagerstown. The center has been well received and is already getting requests for expansion.

A multi-use recreation center has also been a continued request from local citizens. Washington County Staff is currently evaluating potential locations and amenities for a multi-use recreation center. The City of Hagerstown has also made progress in looking at such a facility. Approval has been given to complete a feasibility for such a facility in the City.

Planning

As with most aspects of planning for future growth, parks, recreation and open space needs are evaluated as part of the Comprehensive Plan for the County. The goals, objectives, and recommendations in the Plan relating to these areas of interest are then refined and expanded upon in this document. In the currently adopted Plan, there is emphasis placed on providing “recreational locations and sites that will create the opportunity to pursue various active and passive leisure



activities.”² More specific recommendations to implement this goal are scattered in different sections of the Plan which shows how diverse and important parks, recreation, and open space facilities are in the overall health of a community.

Comprehensive Plan Recommendations relating to parks, recreation and open space are as follows:

Chapter 4: Economic Development

- Infrastructure Improvements: Target infrastructure improvements such as road widening to areas where there is a need to facilitate the movement of farm equipment or to facilitate recreational or heritage tourism promotion.

Chapter 5: Transportation Element

- Continued development of an urban sidewalk system on State roads utilizing the State Highway Administration’s statewide sidewalk program should remain a priority.
- Linkage between greenways and facilities for bicycle and pedestrian movement need to optimize the use of these resources.

Chapter 8: Environmental Resource Management

- A needs assessment should be done to see if more swimming facilities are needed in the western and southern portions of the County.
- Assessments should be done along local waterways to determine the possibility of adding more boat launches along the smaller waterways for non-motorized boating.
- Specific recommendations for recreational water facilities promoting swimming, boating and fishing should be incorporated in future Land Preservation and Recreation Plan updates.

Chapter 9: Community Facilities

- Interconnectivity, accessibility, and safety should be foremost among the guiding principles for the detailed study necessary to establish specific greenway trail locations.
- A variety of recreation facilities and programs should be offered to citizens in the County regardless of sex, age, or race. Both public and private recreation service providers should coordinate to the extent possible to insure efficiency of services and to avoid duplication.

Chapter 10: Historic and Cultural Resources

- If an opportunity arises, consider development of a County park with historical aspects or theme or incorporate historic resources into an existing park where available and appropriate.

Land Acquisition and Facility Development

Land acquisition and facility development activities are implemented through various funding programs such as Program Open Space (POS), excise tax, and general fund revenues from the County. Excise tax and general fund money is budgeted through the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) adopted by the Board of County Commissioners.

² 2002 Washington County Comprehensive Plan; Chapter 2 Goals and Objectives, page 13.



In the County's FY 2018-2027 CIP, \$1,173,900 was budgeted for Parks and Recreation projects. The following table shows the funding allocations.

Parks and Recreation									
Washington County Capital Improvement Program 2018-2027									
Project	Total	Prior Approval	Budget Year	Ten Year Capital Program					
			FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Future
Black Rock Equip. Replacement Program	\$560,666	\$5,666	\$51,000	\$52,000	\$53,000	\$54,000	\$55,000	\$56,000	\$234,000
North Central County Park	\$93,000								\$93,000
Regional Park Equip. Replacement	\$133,000	\$41,000	\$92,000						
Chestnut Grove Park, Overlay Parking Lot	\$42,000			\$42,000					
Tennis Court Resurfacing	\$179,300	\$117,300	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$21,000				
Ag Center Land Acquisition, Development	\$156,000		\$51,000	\$52,000	\$53,000				
Marty Snook Park Sun Shades	\$10,000		\$10,000						
Parks and Recreation Totals	\$1,173,966	\$163,966	\$224,000	\$167,000	\$127,000	\$54,000	\$55,000	\$56,000	\$327,000

Table 5: Parks and Recreation Department Capital Budget (2018-2027) for Washington County, MD

The Capital Improvement Plan reflects a response to the concerns and desires reflected in the Park Survey, tempered by economic reality. Acquisition remains a priority but is limited by fiscal constraint. Therefore, the primary approach of the Parks and Recreation budget is to provide an aggressive repair and renovation schedule to keep existing facilities at a level which maintains the high degree of satisfaction reported by current users.

Traditionally, POS funding has been primarily allocated to the local municipalities for improvement to their park systems. The reason for this policy is based in the reality that there are higher population densities in these areas and the funds could enhance a larger pool of County citizens.



FY 2017 Approved Program Open Space Projects Washington County					
Sponsor	Project	Project Cost	Request Development	Approved Acquisition	Approved
Boonsboro	Boonsboro Park Trail Phase II	\$110,000	\$100,000		\$50,000
Hagerstown	Arts & Entertainment Walking Trail, Acquisition	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
	Park Amenities, Benches, Picnic Tables, Trash Receptacles	\$40,000	\$36,000		\$26,486
	Fairgrounds Park - Indoor Recreation Area	\$125,000	\$36,000		\$36,000
	Kiwanis Park - Utilities	\$30,000	\$27,000		\$27,000
Funkstown	Land Acquisition	\$782,898	\$39,250	\$62,764	\$62,764
Hancock	Kirkwood/Widmeyer Park Pedestrian Trail	\$46,000	\$41,400		\$25,769
	Kirkwood Park Pavilion	\$95,425	\$85,883		\$50,000
	Kirkwood Park Pavilion	\$95,425	\$35,000		\$35,000
	Kirkwood Concrete Floor	\$55,054	\$49,549		\$49,549
	Kirkwood Park Dug-outs	\$9,484	\$6,984		\$6,984
	Kirkwood Softball Field	\$4,100	\$3,674		\$3,674
	Kirkwood Park Connector Acquisition	\$41,650	\$37,485		\$37,485
	Kirkwood Park Pedestrian Trail	\$25,000	\$25,000		\$25,000
Smithsburg	Veterans Park Utility Development	\$30,000	\$27,000		\$27,000
Williamsport	Byron Park Sidewalk Project Phase II	\$40,000	\$30,000		\$30,000
HCC	Soccer field renovations	\$68,799	\$55,039		\$55,039
Washington County	Ag Center Drainage Improvements	\$20,000	\$18,000		\$18,000
	Tennis Court Resurfacing	\$41,000	\$37,000		\$37,000
	Doubs Wood, Arts Pavilion Roof Replacement	\$27,000	\$24,000		\$24,000
	Pavilion Apron Replacement, Various Parks	\$20,000	\$18,000		\$18,000
	Marty Snook Pool, Return Gate Replacement	\$31,000	\$27,000		\$27,000
Totals		\$1,837,835	\$859,264	\$112,764	\$721,750
FY 2017 POS Allocation: \$451,058					
(All other funding shown is from previous POS funding years)					

Regulatory Land Development Ordinances

Another tool used by the County in obtaining parks and open space areas is through regulatory documents such as the Subdivision Ordinance and the Zoning Ordinance. These documents provide rules and guidance for land development in the County. As part of these ordinances, there are opportunities for developers to be flexible in the design of their project through options such as cluster plans and mixed-use developments. The purpose of these flexible design districts is to incentivize the allocation of open space areas within the development by allowing smaller lot sizes and/or increased density. This promotes a win-win scenario for the community and the developer by creating recreational open space area at no value loss to the developer.



Joint Use Agreements

Coordinating recreation and education activities at schools is an important part of the facilities plan. Utilizing the buildings and surrounding grounds as joint school-recreation centers provides indoor and outdoor facilities for neighborhood activities and interaction and allows the center to serve as a focal point for education, recreation and related activities for the surrounding community or town. This multiple use concept is strongly endorsed in the Comprehensive Plan for the County and is consistent with several of the State Visions discussed in the Introduction.



Photo 6: Youth Soccer game sponsored by Washington County Recreation

In practice, the County and the Board of Education work together to plan recreational facilities in the early stages of designing school sites. During the design phase, Staff from each organization work closely together to size both indoor and outdoor facilities to make the investment as efficient and fiscally responsible as possible. Once the facilities are constructed, the School Board has joint use agreements with the Parks and Recreation Department regarding tennis courts and track use and maintenance, and utilizes a School Facility Use Agreement to help coordinate use of buildings and scheduling of fields on school property.

Inventory of Existing Public Parks and Recreation Facilities

Park and open space meet a variety of recreational needs of residents and visitors. Parks and open spaces can also be established to preserve, conserve, and manage natural resources and habitats. The definitions below demonstrate the difference between areas used for recreation vs. those used for resource management.

Recreation Land: Land and/or related water areas that support recreation as a primary use. This land may also contain cultural, agricultural, or other resources related or incidental to its recreational purpose. According to MD DNR there are two sub-categories of recreational land:

- a. Non-resource based recreational land: Land on which the primary recreational activities do not depend on the presence of natural resources. This land supports activities that can occur in the absence of intact natural resources, and are generally more dependent on-site improvements than on natural resources (i.e. public swimming pools, basketball courts, and baseball fields).
- b. Natural Resource based recreational land: Land on which the primary recreation activities depend on the presences of natural resources. Activities generally do not occur without the presence of natural resources (i.e. public beaches, backpacking, camping, and hiking).

Resource Land: Land and/or related water areas for which natural resource protection, conservation, or management is of primary importance. This land may support agricultural, recreational, economic, or other uses to the extent that they do not conflict with protection or preservation of the natural resource.



To further refine the classification of lands in the parks system, recreation and resource lands are classified as follows:

Neighborhood Park: The primary function is to serve as the recreational and social focus of a neighborhood. They are developed for both active and passive activities, accommodating a wide variety of age groups. Sites are generally small, in the two to five-acre range, and are usually within one half mile or less of potential users.


Community Park: The purpose is larger and broader than neighborhood parks. Their focus is on meeting the recreational needs of several neighborhoods or larger sections of the community as well as preserving unique landscapes, open spaces, and natural resources. Sites can range in size from ten to fifty acres depending on rural or urban settings and the number of potential users. These parks are generally intensely developed to provide both passive and active recreational opportunities to potential users within two to three miles.

County/Regional Park: Like the community park, the focus is on recreation as well as preserving natural landscapes, open spaces, and natural resources. Sites are generally fifty acres or more and provide both active and passive recreational opportunities to potential users throughout the County and/or region.

School Recreational Land: These are sites owned and maintained by the Board of Education and serve to provide for the school's recreational needs as well as limited community needs. The school recreational land consists of formal athletic fields and playground equipment with the primary focus on scholastic sports and in school recreational activities. An agreement between the Board of County Commissioners and the Board of Education allows additional funds to be provided to build an expanded gym, storage areas, recreation rooms and offices to support Recreation Centers which are open to the public when school is not in session. These Centers are managed by the County's Department of Parks and Recreation.

State Park: Areas with natural resources or geographic, topographic, or physiographic characteristics that are suitable for recreational development and use. These areas are managed with the primary objective of providing outdoor recreational opportunities for the public in a natural setting.

Special Use Park: Areas that are generally oriented toward a single purpose use such as public golf courses, including protection of unique features such as historic or cultural sites, stream access, wetland areas, and habitat management areas.



Map 4: Governmentally Owned and Maintained Parks in Washington County, MD

Most of non-resource based recreational land is contained within County and municipal parks. While the municipalities focus on pocket parks and neighborhood level park areas, the County focuses more on community and regional park resources. There are also several State and Federal Parks that serve a dual purpose of both recreation area and natural resource protection area. This provides a diverse network of park and recreation facilities for all citizens. In addition to governmentally owned park facilities, there are several community and Ruritan parks that are privately owned but are also open to the public in varying degrees. While not technically included within the proximity analysis due to their privately-owned nature, it is still important to include as a resource. Map 4 illustrates the location of County and municipal parks as well as public school recreation sites. A larger version of the parks map is in Appendix A and includes a cross reference to the detailed inventory. A detailed inventory of recreation land and their associated amenities are included in Appendix B.

Measuring User Demand

Public Engagement and Outreach

Typically, public engagement and outreach methods used in development of past plans included public input meetings at several locations around the County. These meetings have proven to be ineffective and inefficient. While a few members of the public have had valuable comments regarding the status of our parks and recreation facilities, meetings would typically be poorly attended and would



devolve into tangents upon specific negative experiences that proved to have no real solution or impact on the Plan.

To streamline our public engagement process, the County held a two-phase process that proved to be more informative, efficient and effective than previous attempts at meaningful input in development of the draft document. The first method of outreach was via a Stakeholder meeting held in August 2015. The second phase of public outreach included an on-line survey marketed and distributed throughout the County. In addition to these preliminary meetings the County will hold a public hearing before the Board of County Commissioners to take a final round of public comment on the draft document.

As part of the Stakeholder meetings, direct invitations were sent out to eight different stakeholder groups within the County (see inset) that have a vested interest in parks and recreation facilities within the County. When asked what they believe the community has done well regarding parks and recreation facilities in the County, participants noted that they believe the County has done well with safety and maintenance of equipment and surfaces and made a good use of limited resources.

When asked what they believe has not been done well with existing facilities, participants commented that there is a general lack of field space in County parks, specifically softball and baseball fields. There were also comments that the scheduling of facilities can be difficult due to the number of organized leagues already contracted to use the areas. And finally, comments were made that older sites do not fit the current needs or design guidelines for some facilities, such as playground areas, and that the physical arrangement of some of the parks can limit user's enjoyment of the areas.

When asked what the County can do to improve parks and recreation facilities within the community participants offered the following suggestions:

- ◆ Turf fields with lighting, while a high cost feature, would take less maintenance and would generate more use and income for the County, perhaps attracting users from other areas, and reducing the need for citizens, teams and clubs to leave the community for access to more/better facilities. Planners should quantify the numbers of residents turned away.
- ◆ Develop more facilities in the east, north, Williamsport and Clear Springs areas.
- ◆ Obtain State and Federal money to promote trails/bike trails and improve inter-trail connections.
- ◆ Explore the potential for water trails along the creeks and waterways within the County, specifically the Antietam and Conococheague Creeks.
- ◆ Coordinate with Maryland State Parks to provide wider access roads and additional parking for walking trails.
- ◆ Convert abandoned railroad tracks to trails.

Stakeholder Groups

- *Washington County Recreation Department*
- *Parks and Recreation Advisory Board*
- *Convention and Visitors Bureau*
- *MD Department of Natural Resources*
- *National Park Service*
- *Appalachian Trail Conservancy*
- *National Scenic Byways Group*
- *South Mountain Recreational Area*



- ◆ Prioritize the use of Program Open Space money.
- ◆ Strive to improve the maintenance/replacement budget and schedule.
- ◆ Base long-term plans for increased park land and facilities on projected population increases.
- ◆ Develop and pursue ideas for more money to replace Program Open Space money (i.e. fees, rental rate increases).
- ◆ Provide more parking.
- ◆ Investigate the airport as a location for recreational facilities.
- ◆ Conduct a socio-economics analysis of areas around existing parks so that facilities can be developed to match neighborhood needs.
- ◆ Offer more swimming facilities.

In addition to the directed stakeholder meetings held in August, the County developed and marketed an on-line survey to obtain feedback from the public on the function and usage of our local park system. One of the primary recommendations from the previous plan was for the County to develop its own survey regarding local parks and recreation services rather than depending upon statewide surveys and extrapolations.




Figure 4: Survey question from public outreach survey

The survey was broken up into three general areas: park facilities, recreation programs, and demographics. The questions presented were intended to extract information from citizens regarding their opinions on current usage, proximity, condition, and general comments regarding park facilities and recreation programs in the County. The purpose of the demographic questions was to gain insight into who the users of local public facilities are and whether there are patterns in attendance/ usage. Areas

were also included within the survey for spontaneous feedback from the respondent. A total of 435 responses were received from the on-line survey and a full copy of the survey questions and summary of responses is in Appendix C.

Approximately two-thirds of the survey respondents reported that they participated in some form of County recreation program within the past year. When queried about the types of programs that were attended, most of the respondents mention participation in youth programs vs. organized youth or adult leagues.

In comparison, a larger portion of survey respondents reported that they have used County parks within the last year.




Figure 5: Survey question from public outreach survey



Usage, Demands, and Participation Rates

Parks

Most of parkland usage in Washington County comes from organized or league sports. As shown in the chart below, five County parks are used primarily for baseball, softball, football, and soccer leagues. By using the number of participants and the total days and weeks per year they have requested to reserve fields, we have estimated the total individual usage.

<u>Parks with League Play</u>	# of Participants	# of Days use per week	Weeks per year	Total Individual Uses per league
Marty Snook Park				
Hub City Softball League	105	4	24	10,080
Halfway Little League	150	6	18	16,200
PA Softball Tournaments	144	2	13	3,744
Washington County Junior Football	795	5	20	79,500
Washington County Flag Football	160	1	16	2,560
Conococheague Girls Softball	60	1	15	900
Kemps Mill Park				
Washington County Girls Softball League	500	6	24	72,000
PA Softball Tournaments	144	2	13	3,744
Clear Spring Park				
Clear Spring Little League	165	6	18	17,820
Clear Spring Soccer	225	6	20	27,000
Pinesburg Softball Complex				
Washington County Co-Rec League	400	4	16	25,600
Tavern League	100	2	16	3,200
Pinesburg Fall Softball League	120	2	8	1,920
PA Softball	144	2	13	3,744
Springfield School Park Site				
Williamsport Youth Soccer	350	6	20	42,000
Conococheague Little League (practice)	100	3	18	5,400
Washington County Junior Football (practice)	100	3	20	6,000
TOTALS	3762			321,412

Table 6: Participation in league play in Washington County parks

Another way for the County to estimate usage of the parks system is through tracking of pavilion rentals in various parks throughout the County. Typically, when pavilions are rented, the other amenities in parks are used as well. Rentals are permitted from May 1st through October 31st. In 2016, the County had approximately 1,076 rental requests. Based on the applications, there was an average user request



of 85 people making the total user estimate around 91,460 people.

In addition, primarily due to public requests, two dog parks have been established, one each in the City of Hagerstown and the Washington County park systems. Disc golf courses have been added adjacent to the municipal and county owned golf courses.

Due to the nature of these information gathering steps, certain predictions are possible; soccer players want more fields, league members want facilities built to competition specifications, participants in various programs want more offerings with a greater flexibility of time and location. Casual or non-documented park use is difficult to determine other than on an anecdotal basis. On warm pleasant days, the casual observer would note that the parks are full, etc.

Unmet needs were partially identified in the survey and during the public comment process reported earlier in this document. In addition to those stated needs, the County has faced a challenge in the level of opposition to the establishment of new rail trails, such as the Weverton Rail Trail proposed on DNR property that would bring a new level of support for the Civil War Heritage Area.

The County development of riding trails, dog parks, and multi-use fields reflects a willingness and ability to be responsive to changes in the public definition of recreational facilities and who provides them. However, there is a weakness inherent in the system in the form of funding sources. As other infrastructure priorities and needs have increased and State-wide support funds have continued to decrease, the expansion of parks and programs has been limited while funds are being used to maintain the existing park system.

Recreation Programs

The trend in the provision of recreational facilities and services is towards meeting changing needs of the public. Use rates reported as a single number reflecting the number of individual uses are impressive, but may skew demand towards team sports in league play. Recreational programs conducted by the County in a variety of facilities can also have the same result. In the future, some form of data collection to show the number of different individuals utilizing the parks would be useful. The following chart shows attendance figures for various recreation programs held throughout the County.

Attendance Numbers for Recreation Programs				
ACTIVITY	PARTICIPANTS			
	WINTER	SPRING/SUMMER	FALL	TOTAL
YOUTH CLASSES				
Giggles & Wiggles	160 (4 classes)	0	553 (4 classes)	713
Tiny Tot Soccer	264 (2 classes)	144	592 (2 classes)	1,000
Big & Little Basketball	144	0	320	464
Youth Track	138	0	72	210
Happy Feet Track	162	0	132	294



Hancock Basketball	160	0	0	160
Youth Karate	644	700	672	2,016
Youth Soccer	125	78	132	335
Boys HS Soccer	400	735	0	1,135
Girls HS Soccer	480	840	0	1,320
Power Play	84	0	48	132
Tennis 6-8 yr. olds	0	96	84	180
Tennis 10-14 yr. olds	0	54	66	120
Tennis 15-18 yr. olds	0	60	84	144
Pre-School Playcamp Week 1	0	195	0	195
Pre-School Playcamp Week 2	0	195	0	195
Youth Swim Lessons	0	1,400 (49 classes)	0	1,400
Boys Basketball League	0	2,625	0	2,625
Girls Basketball League	0	2,205	0	2,205
Boys Basketball Camp	0	495	0	495
Girls HS Volleyball League	0	1,080 (Varsity & JV)	0	1,080
Volleyball Skills Clinics	264 (2 classes)	0	144	408
Elementary VB League	0	0	1,600	1,600
Middle School VB League	0	0	1,600	1,600
Youth Dance Classes	0	1,453 (15 classes)	1,681 (15 classes)	3,134
Dance Fest	0	71	0	71
Princess Party	0	0	655	655
Park @ Dark	0	0	722	722
Super Heroes Party	441	0	0	441



Rockland Woods Camp	0	620	0	620
Hancock Camp	0	390	0	390
Marty Snook Park 6-7 year olds	0	1,305	0	1,305
Marty Snook Park 8-9 year olds	0	2,021	0	2,021
Marty Snook Park 10-12 year olds	0	1,895	0	1,895
Maugansville ES School Camp	0	2,130	0	2,130
Williamsport ES School Camp	0	1,895	0	1,895
ADULT CLASSES				
Water Exercise/Arthritis Aquatics	1,008	1,687	1,372	4,067
Sit Fit	48	48	48	144
Spin Fit	2,264	260	514 (2 classes)	1,038
Sassy Seniors	504	572	554	1,630
Total Fitness 4-Star Daytime	1176	754	1064	2,994
Total Fitness 4-Star Evenings	644	442	448	1,534
Total Fitness HCC	480	260	252	992
Spin Cycling at HCC	364	442	308	1,114
Hybrid Cardio/Chisel and Chill	1,148 (2 classes)	806 (2 classes)	1,008 (2 classes)	2,962
Rhythm Is Gonna' Get Ya'	0	0	1,008 (New Class)	1,008
ZUMBA	3,836 (4 classes)	2,401 (4 classes)	3,164 (3 classes)	9,401
Pop Pilates	224	114	456	794
Walking Club	8,470	2,590	3,430	14,490
Adult Karate	566	420	532	1,518
Adult Volleyball League	721	0	960	1,681
Adult Ballroom	185	72	180	437



Adult Tap	208 (2 classes)	90 (2 classes)	208 (2 classes)	506
Adult Tennis	0	142	136	278
Adult Pick-Up Soccer	192	0	384	576
St. Patrick's Day Races	894		0	894
Spooky Sprint	0	0	91	91
TOTALS	24,398	33,782	25,274	83454*
*Numbers do not include spectators.				

Table 7: Participation for Recreation Programs in Washington County, MD

In addition to these programs, the County has also begun to focus on the recreational needs of our senior citizens. Washington County has recently collaborated with the City of Hagerstown and the Commission on Aging to renovate a surplus National Guard facility into a Senior Center. This facility has a fitness center, areas for social activities, and support for meal programs. Programs are offered to enhance the quality of life, including fitness, recreational, and learning for life programs.

Level of Service Analysis

The general purpose of a level of service analysis is to assess the supply vs. the demand of the parkland system. The supply of parkland available to the public has been established as part of the inventory analysis of this chapter. However, a simple listing of resources does not give an accurate depiction of service. To provide a more accurate representation of parkland supply, a spatial analysis of the park system has been completed. To complement the supply portion of the equation, the demand portion of the analysis is done through a park equity evaluation.

Park Equity Analysis

The process of measuring park equity combines GIS mapping information and census data to provide graphic representations to assist planners in determining the best locations for future parks. It was developed by the State of Maryland to provide a basic quantitative tool to help expand public access to nature for underserved communities, by employing national, state and local data in a consistent and strategic manner.



Four criteria are prioritized:

- High concentration of children under the age of 17
- High concentration of populations below the poverty level
- High population density, and
- Low access to public park space




Each factor is determined from census data and given various weights to reach a combined score. Greatest weight is given to the mean distance from the Census Tract to park space. The scores for age and density are also given more weight. The totals are then combined to create a Park Equity Combined Score, with the higher score reflecting the greater need.



As shown on Map 5, the areas of the greatest need are mostly within the City of Hagerstown. This is not surprising since these are the densest residential areas in the County. The City is committed to providing a variety of parks and open space areas for their citizen's enjoyment. They have made great progress in their endeavors to increase park and open space through recent park additions such as the Cultural Trail, Kiwanis Park, and Terrapin Park. The City continues to seek opportunities to enhance its park system. An example of on-going work is the acquisition of property along West Washington Street for a new pocket park called National Road Park.

Other areas showing a medium to high need are in Census tracts north of the City and tracts along the Virginia Avenue (US Route 11) corridor. The County has experienced moderate growth in the areas north of the City over the last two decades. It has long been established that the County is seeking to establish a new regional park around Marsh Pike and Leitersburg Pike. Location of the park is awaiting the final alignment of a proposed new two-lane road that will connect Eastern Boulevard to Leitersburg Pike. It is believed that a regional park in this area will alleviate a lot of the need in these areas.

It is also noted that there is an anomaly in the data due to the location of the State prison complex south of the City of Hagerstown. Census data in this tract is consistently skewed due to the demographics of these facilities.



Map 5: Park Equity Map for Washington County, MD




Strategies for meeting the goals are covered more specifically in the goals section. For the most part, goals will be attained using Project Open Space Funds, coordination with local sports associations, and fees generated by facility rentals.

Park Proximity Analysis

Using the County's Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data, a spatial analysis was conducted to determine the proximity of County and municipal parks to the public. For this analysis, catchment areas of one, three, and five miles were mapped to determine if there are gaps in service to the public. A five-mile catchment area was determined to be the furthest acceptable distance from a park because it represents an approximate 10-15-mile drive or reasonable bike ride. The one-mile catchment area corresponds to a reasonable walking distance.

As shown on Map 6, nearly the entire County is within a five-mile proximity of a County or municipal park. The largest gap occurs in the western portion of Washington County near its border with Allegany County. While there is a gap within this area, it should also be noted that a large portion of the gap area includes several areas of natural resource lands included within the Woodmont Natural Resource Management Area maintained by the State of Maryland. It is also an area designated for low need in the park equity analysis.



Map 6: Park Proximity Map for Washington County, MD



In addition to a countywide evaluation of park proximity, a more focused analysis was made in the areas surrounding the Urban Growth Area. Areas in and around the City of Hagerstown and the larger area of the UGA show a heavy concentration of areas of need on the park equity analysis. Catchment areas were reduced to one-quarter, one-half, and one-mile distances within the Urban Growth Area. The reason for the reduced catchment areas is to evaluate proximity of parks to those areas determined to have a higher need for parklands by the park equity analysis.

The proximity analysis of the UGA (shown as an inset-on Map 6) shows a high concentration of parks in and around the boundaries of the City of Hagerstown and the Towns of Williamsport and Funkstown. This illustrates the commitment of the City, towns, and County to provide park facilities in the areas of greatest need.

This analysis also illustrates the larger distance to park facilities as you move further away from the core of the City of Hagerstown. Some of this is to be expected due to reduced residential density further from the urban core. However, when compared to the park equity analysis, there is a distinct gap in the northern portion of the UGA. There are high concentrations of residential development along the Maugans Avenue/Long Meadow Road corridor that appear to lack nearby park facilities as compared to other dense residential areas in the UGA. The County does have long term plans to locate a new regional park in the vicinity of Leitersburg Pike and Marsh Pike which would likely fill some of the need within this area. However, there still appears to be a gap in the general vicinity of the Maugansville area. These areas north of Hagerstown are shown as having a medium to high need for park facilities according to the park equity analysis. Currently, residents rely upon use of land containing Maugansville Elementary School as well as a nearby privately-owned community park.

Another area showing a moderate need for additional park facilities is near Sharpsburg Pike, south of the City of Hagerstown. This area is shown as having a medium to low-medium need based on the park equity analysis, but recent heavy residential development in this corridor will likely continue over the short term; and, therefore, drive more demand for additional park facilities.

One other area on the map that shows a low proximity to park facilities is in a large area west of the City of Hagerstown. This area that is designated along the Greencastle Pike and Salem Avenue corridors has been designated specifically by the Comprehensive Plan for the County as a commercial and employment center area. Zoning in this area has been comprehensively rezoned to achieve this goal. Based on these land use goals, this area is not being targeted for residential development; and, therefore, should not see a high demand for park facilities.

[Access Analysis](#)


Another aspect to level of service that was measured as part of the development of this plan was to evaluate opportunities for access to various recreational activities. As part of the public survey and public information meetings, the most common recreational uses for citizens is walking/hiking/biking, picnicking and general enjoyment of nature and water related activities (boating/swimming/canoeing/kayaking).

County GIS data was again used to map proximity of natural features as well as access to trail and water access. Due to the rural nature of Washington County, it would be expected that most participants of these activities will travel by automobile to access recreation opportunities so a five-mile catchment area was used to depict a reasonable (10-15 minute) drive to access these features. Also,



included on the maps is a three mile and one-mile catchment area that shows a reasonable biking or walking distance from said features.

- ◆ **Proximity to Natural Areas.** As shown on Map 7, most of the County has reasonable access to a variety of natural resources. There is an area north of the City of Hagerstown that shows a gap in service for these types of amenities. While there may be a small gap in this area, it is important to note that this is the general location of the Hagerstown Regional Airport. While not mutually exclusive to one another, there are some compatibility issues with having large natural areas near the Airport. Most of the incompatibility stems from wildlife habitats created as part of natural areas. For airport operations, especially in rural areas such as Washington County, wildlife is a significant hazard that can be disruptive or even dangerous to airport operations. For purposes of this analysis, natural areas are generally considered to be State and Federal parks in the County.




Map 7: Proximity to Natural Access for Washington County, MD



- ◆ **Proximity to Water Access.** As shown on map 8, the majority of the County has reasonable access to various water features. The primary source of water access in the County is the Potomac River. Nearly 80 miles of river shoreline are contained in Washington County and there are numerous public access points along its length. In addition, there are nine primary tributaries that drain into the Potomac River that are either all or a portion of which are navigable. While there are several existing public access points along these tributaries, the County Parks Department has been continuously seeking opportunities for additional access points specifically along the Antietam and Conococheague Creeks.

The County's partnership with the Maryland Public Access, Water Trails, and Recreation Planning program has been used to build upon existing water trails designated by the State of Maryland. The most prominent water trail is the Upper Potomac water trail which spans from Shepherdstown, WV to Cumberland, MD. This trail has been designated as an invaluable resource for paddlers, boaters, and anglers. In addition to the Potomac River trail the State has also designated portions of the Conococheague and Antietam Creeks as important water trails in the County. Map 8 below shows the locations of designated water trails and hiking trails in Washington County.



Map 8: Proximity to Water Access for Washington County, MD





Table 9: Trail and Water Access for Washington County, MD

- ◆ **Proximity to Trail Access.** As shown on Map 9, much of the County is located within a reasonable distance of trail access. There is a gap noted in the northern portion of the County from Indian Springs to Smithsburg. There are several factors that have led to this gap in service that again relates to the compatibility of the land use policies in the area. First, as noted in the section above discussing proximity to natural areas, the Hagerstown Regional Airport is in a portion of the gap area. Trails are not necessarily incompatible with airport operations but typically do not attract interest from the public either due to noise issues and lack of natural areas. The other areas along the Mason-Dixon Line, both east and west of the airport, are areas that have been delineated as Priority Preservation Areas. These are specifically targeted for agricultural land preservation programs on private land. Map 9 above shows the location of several recognized hiking trails in the County.



Map 10: Proximity to Trail Access Map in Washington County, MD

Conclusions

According to the Park Equity Analysis the areas of highest need for park access are located in and around the City of Hagerstown. This is not surprising due to the population densities found in these areas. When compared to the park proximity analysis there is a graphic correlation between the location of parks and where the demand would be most critical. According to the park proximity analysis the majority of the Urban Growth Area is within a 3-mile radius in general of a County or municipal park. When looking at proximity to specific amenities within the park system such as access to water trails, access to hiking/walking trails, and access to natural areas, the County appears to meet the needs of most County citizens by having these amenities located within a 5-mile radius or less. There are some gaps that begin to appear for some of the amenities mostly in the northern portion of the UGA. Some of this gap is caused by the location of the Hagerstown Regional Airport along US 11 north of Hagerstown. While there are some opportunities for recreation within the area there is also a conscious effort to minimize the potential for conflicting land uses. For example, there is a large gap in the airport vicinity for natural areas. Because of recent FAA regulations regarding wildlife attractants to airport facilities, the County has adjusted some of our land use policies to limit this conflict. Included is limiting the amount



of natural resources such as water features (ponds, stormwater management areas, etc.) and forested areas.

CIP funding and POS grants have continued to focus on parklands located within the UGA. Rescission of POS funding in the early 2000s has severely limited and shifted funding to focus on maintenance and system preservation rather than acquisition and expansion of services. As shown previously in the CIP funding, expenditures for park and recreation uses are focused on the better attended facilities such as Marty Snook Park, Doub's Woods Park, and the Agricultural Education Center (see results of question QF5 of the parks survey for attendance responses).

Priorities and Recommendations

Parkland Acquisition

- Continue to pursue acquisition of additional parkland for active and passive recreational activities. Specifically, an analysis of park proximity and equity show potential gaps in service near:
 - ◆ Pennsylvania Avenue extending north to Longmeadow Road
 - ◆ Marsh Pike and Leitersburg Pike
 - ◆ Maugansville Rural Village
 - ◆ Sharpsburg Pike corridor from I-70 south to Lappans Road
 - ◆ Cascade/Pen Mar/Ft. Ritchie (taking advantage of redevelopment in the area)
 - ◆ Jefferson Boulevard/Robinwood Drive
- Continue to develop strategies to acquire additional land and/or financial support for park acquisition and development during the development review process. Strategies could include land dedication during development review, incentives for land donation, land swaps, and/or impact fees.
- Evaluate existing governmental properties for potential adaptive reuse that could meet recreational needs. For example, lands at the airport and closed landfills could provide areas for recreational activities that would not deter from the principle purpose of the property.

Greenways/Rail Trails/Water Trails

- Depending on location, some local abandoned rail corridors could have potential for conversion to accessible walking and bicycling paths, with possible links to adjacent states. Discussion in recent years has focused on the abandoned CSX rail line extending from Hagerstown to Weverton Cliffs. The State of Maryland purchased the property in the mid-1990s and has offered the County an opportunity to implement a rail-trail facility within the right-of-way. There is a divide between the owners of properties adjacent to the railroad right-of-way who see this plan as a potential threat and other citizens in the County who see this as a potential benefit. More study and public input needs to be



completed before additional progress can be made.

- Continue to work with local land owners with waterway frontage to create possible areas for public access. A recent donation by a local non-profit organization, Kiwanis, along Antietam Creek has provided one such example of this initiative.
- Other conceptual greenways routes identified on the Comprehensive Plan Special Programs Map should be prioritized and evaluated for easement, connectivity, dedication, and public accessibility potential.

Joint Use of School Facilities

Coordinating recreation and education activities at schools is an important part of the facilities plan. Utilizing the buildings and surrounding grounds as joint school-recreation centers provides indoor and outdoor facilities for neighborhood activities and interaction, and allows the center to serve as a focal point for education, recreation and related activities for the surrounding community or town. This multiple use concept is strongly endorsed in the Comprehensive Plan for the County and is consistent with several of the State Visions discussed in the Introduction. There has also been a history of success of joint use facilities. Recommendations to improve upon this practice include:

- Continue to execute joint use agreements between the Board of Education and the Parks and Recreation Department regarding tennis courts and track use and maintenance. Utilize a School Facility Use Agreement to help coordinate use of buildings and scheduling of fields on school property.
- Design of new schools should include availability of services such as restrooms and water fountains to the participants and spectators. This includes access to these facilities for indoor and outdoor recreation programs. Security measures to restrict access of off-hour participants to the rest of the facility should also be a priority in design.
- Continue to coordinate with the Board of Education, where appropriate, on oversizing of gymnasiums to provide regulation size courts for various indoor sports such as volleyball and basketball. This has proven to be a practical and cost-effective method for helping to meet the needs of recreational demands.

Bicycling and Pedestrian Safety and Health

Washington County has an excellent road network, historic towns, points of interest, and a scenic, pastoral landscape which attracts recreational bicyclists locally, and from nearby states and metropolitan areas. The C & O Canal towpath and the Western MD Rail Trail are additional tourist attractions and make the County a well-known destination for bicycle tourists. In addition, the City of Hagerstown has implemented an aggressive Bicycle Master Plan that has garnered them a Bronze Level Bicycle Friendly Community designation by the League of



American Bicyclist. To improve upon our bicycle and pedestrian facilities the following recommendations are offered:

- Continue to work with the Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle Metropolitan Planning Organization to implement the recommendations of their recently approved Regional Bicycle Plan.
- Continue to seek funding opportunities through grant programs such as the Transportation Alternatives Program, Safe Routes to School Program, Federal Lands Access Program, etc.
- Coordinate among school, health, planning, and engineering officials, as well as community betterment groups, to contribute to the awareness of the many benefits of improving facilities and access to these facilities thereby increasing opportunities for bicycling and walking.
- Work with the Convention and Visitors Bureau to promote local biking events and County bicycle facilities.

Community Recreation Centers

- Washington County and the City of Hagerstown share a long-range goal of providing a regional recreation complex (to possibly include an indoor swimming pool, gymnasium, multi-purpose rooms, and outdoor fields) located within the Urban Growth Area. Further discussion and study should be conducted to decide what types of recreational centers (i.e. aquatics, tennis, multi-purpose, etc.) would be most beneficial to the County citizens and identify opportunities for City/County cooperation.
- Washington County opened a new Senior Center in 2015. The new facility is being used to near capacity and requests have been made to expand. Future growth of the facility should be evaluated for potential expansion.

Participation Survey

During the update of this document, the County developed and implemented a survey to determine the needs of citizens. While the survey was a good start and provided helpful insight and information, it should be updated every few years and redistributed to ensure that changing demands are being met.



NATURAL RESOURCE LAND CONSERVATION

Executive Summary

Washington County is fortunate to have a significant amount of natural resources. Bounded on the east and west by portions of the Appalachian mountain chain, scenic vistas are plentiful. Fairview and Sideling Hill mountains to the west and South Mountain to the east provide important hardwood forests, animal habitats and opportunities for recreation. The fertile soils of the Great Hagerstown Valley provide high quality productive soils for agricultural uses.



Photo 7: Wilson Bridge spanning the Conococheague Creek in Washington County, MD

The valley also contains two primary stream systems; the Conococheague and Antietam Creeks. These streams are used for public water supply, agricultural irrigation, and recreational uses. The Potomac River bounds the County on its southern border. With one of the longest areas of shoreline along the Potomac, Washington County citizens have ample access to water recreation activities. It is also the primary drinking water source for the City of Hagerstown and surrounding urbanized areas.

Conservation of natural resources is a high priority and integral part of the County's long-term land use goals. Natural resource conservation efforts have primarily succeeded through the comprehensive planning process and subsequent changes in zoning/subdivision regulations. County planning staff works to keep long range and resource planning issues in front of the various volunteer commissions while continuing to review development and subdivision plans. A variety of public agencies aid property owners who wish to voluntarily manage, conserve and restore natural resources on their property. Now, State and Federal programs are the primary means of large scale natural resource protection in Washington County.



Photo 8: View of High Rock located along South Mountain in Washington County, MD

Cultural and economic values of a wide range of forest land, streams and rivers are well preserved by the National Park Service and the Maryland Forest and Park Services. As described in the Parks section of the Plan, nearly 40,000 acres of Federal and State-owned park and forest land are protected in the County. These areas offer a valuable resource for outdoor recreation and nature and wildlife appreciation, and contribute to the variety of tourism opportunities available in the County.

The public benefits from these efforts are numerous. There are opportunities for hunting, fishing,



hiking, biking, swimming, picnicking, nature walks, bird watching, etc. Government support of outdoor recreation amenities includes:

- ◆ Over 100 miles of bicycle routes along County, city, and State highways. These routes lead the rider past scenic vistas, and historic sites.
- ◆ The Appalachian Trail offers the day hiker, section hiker, and through hiker over 40 miles of crest walking, punctuated by vistas of the Great Valley and road crossings which simplify access and resupply.
- ◆ The Maryland Heights Trail and others at Antietam Battlefield and Fort Frederick lead the hiker through history, and provide opportunities to view the natural habitat of the myriad of species that call Maryland home.
- ◆ The C&O Canal offers users an opportunity to view the history of transportation in the County. Several lock houses and locks have been restored to show how the canal functioned.
- ◆ A few official boat launches and frequent access points give the canoeist and kayaker the flexibility of having hours and miles of travel along the creeks, or just a quick trip. (While these water trails are not 'official', there are traditional put in's and take outs along the Antietam Creek that have been used for many years.)
- ◆ The Washington County Parks amenities are detailed elsewhere in this plan; pavilions and picnic areas are in almost every park. Four County parks are located on waterways (Camp Harding, Wilson Bridge, Devil's Backbone and Kemps Mill); one is dedicated to a wetland (Mt. Briar Wetland); and all are sited to provide neighboring areas with a public space to rest and recreate.
- ◆ One refurbished public-school site storm water management system was built as a bio retention area performing a valuable function in cleaning run-off, while providing students with close access to a wetland for study and observation.
- ◆ Some County properties have room for expansion of amenities, and the recently added disc golf course and riding trails are good examples of the willingness of park administration to move quickly on requests for added amenities, provided funding is available.



Photo 9: C & O Canal Towpath in Washington County, MD

Goals for Natural Resource Land Conservation

State Goals for Natural Resources Conservation

Identify, protect, and restore lands and waterways in Maryland that support aquatic and terrestrial natural resources and ecological functions, through combined use of the following techniques:

- Public land acquisition and stewardship
- Private land conservation easements and stewardship practices through purchased or donated easement programs;
- Local land use management plans and procedures that conserve natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas and minimize impacts to resource lands when development occurs;



- Support incentives for resource-based economies that increase the retention of forests, wetlands or agricultural lands;
- Avoidance of impacts on natural resources by publicly funded infrastructure development projects; and
- Appropriate mitigation response, commensurate with the value of the affected resource.
- ◆ Focus conservation and restoration activities on priority areas, according to a strategic framework such as the Targeted Ecological Areas (TEAs) in GreenPrint (which is not to be confused with the former easement program also called GreenPrint).
- ◆ Conserve and restore species of concern and important habitat types that may fall outside of designated green infrastructure (examples include: rock outcrops, karst systems, caves, shale barren communities, grasslands, shoreline beach and dune systems, mud flats, non-forested islands, etc.)
- ◆ Develop a more comprehensive inventory of natural resource lands and environmentally sensitive areas to assist state and local implementation programs.
- ◆ Establish measurable objectives for natural resource conservation and an integrated state/local strategy to achieve them through state and local implementation programs.
- ◆ Assess the combined ability of state and local programs to achieve the following:
 - Expand and connect forests, farmland and other natural lands as a network of contiguous green infrastructure;
 - Protect critical terrestrial and aquatic habitats, biological communities and populations;
 - Manage watersheds in ways that protect, conserve, and restore stream corridors, riparian forest buffers, wetlands, floodplains and aquifer recharge areas and their associated hydrologic and water quality functions;
 - Adopt coordinated land and watershed management strategies that recognize the critical links between growth management and aquatic biodiversity and fisheries production; and
 - Support a productive forestland base and forest resource industry, emphasizing the economic viability of privately owned forestland.

County Goals for Natural Resources Conservation

Environmental and natural conservation is supported throughout The County Comprehensive Plan. Goal #3, Chapter 2 reads: “Encourage the stewardship of the environment and the County’s heritage.” The Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan has these objectives in relation to this goal:

- ◆ Balance future growth with the need to preserve the historical, cultural, and scenic beauty of the County for future generations.
- ◆ Promote the compatibility of the built and natural environments by ensuring that the scale and character of development are harmonious with existing conditions.
- ◆ Target development away from lands with quality agricultural soils, thereby maximizing agricultural potential and limiting conflicts with existing agricultural operations.
- ◆ Limit the amount of development in sensitive areas.
- ◆ Safeguard the unique environmental character of designated special planning areas.
- ◆ Promote Rural Legacy initiatives in all the rural areas of the County.
- ◆ Maintain, and where feasible, expand forest conservation efforts.



- ◆ Protect surface and ground water quality through storm water management, on lot sewage disposal, and wellhead protection regulations.
- ◆ Encourage recycling and resource conservation.



Photo 10: View of Hagerstown Valley from High Rock in Washington County, MD

These goals represent the concern planners have for the future of natural resource lands in Washington County. They speak of the desire for future generations to have the enjoyment of the natural and historic settings that the current generation enjoys. Details of new lands in the preservation programs are provided in the Agricultural Land Preservation and Easement Acquisition Program section of this report. The enforcement of current county land use and zoning ordinances and their related codes has continued to support these goals in those instances where development has been proposed in natural resource areas.

Inventory of Protected Natural Resource Lands and Mapping

Washington County has a mixture of areas that are protected for natural resource conservation. Much of the land under protection is governmentally (State and Federal) owned land. There are currently four National Parks located within Washington County; Antietam National Battlefield, Chesapeake & Ohio Canal National Historic Park, Harper's Ferry (Maryland Heights) National Historic Park, and the Appalachian National Scenic Trail. While each of these parks serves to protect more historical and cultural resources the mere protection of the land serves to also protect the environment around them.



Photo 11: Dunkard Church at Antietam Battlefield in Washington County, MD

The same can be said for the Maryland State Park system. While most of the State Parks have been established to provide recreational opportunities for the citizens, they also serve to protect the environment as well. While most of the state parks have been established to provide recreational opportunities, there are several that have been established to provide environmental and habitat protection. These include Natural Resources Management Areas (NRMA), Fishery Management Areas (FMA), and Wildlife Management Areas (WMA).



Sprinkled around the County are other various types of protections such as scenic, historic, or environmental easements. These easements vary widely in their purpose and can be established through both governmental and private, non-profit organizations. Examples of these types of easements include:

- ◆ **Federal scenic easements.** These easements are purchased from private property owners mostly around the C&O Canal corridor to protect the scenic viewsheds of the area along the canal. They vary in the types of restrictions that are placed on the property from tree cutting to where structures may or may not be located.
- ◆ **Maryland Environmental Trust easements.** The Maryland Environmental Trust is a quasi-public organization that is both a unit of the Maryland Department of Natural Resources and is governed by a private Board of Trustees. Their stated purpose is "...to conserve, improve, stimulate, and perpetuate the aesthetic, natural, health and welfare, scenic, and cultural qualities of the environment..."
- ◆ **Private and Non-profit organization easements.** There are a few other private and/non-profit organizations working in Washington County to preserve various aspects of our natural and cultural resources. Some examples include Save Historic Antietam Foundation, Mid-Maryland Land Trust, the Nature Conservancy, and the Conservation Fund.
- ◆ **Forest Conservation Act Easements.** Also included within the category of easements are those related to the State Forest Conservation Act (FCA). The FCA was implemented by the Maryland General Assembly to reduce the impacts of development on statewide forest resources. When new development occurs, there is a standard review of the forest resources and sometimes a mitigation component to off-set the impacts on forest resources.

A spreadsheet delineating the County natural resource inventory is in Appendix B.

Implementation of Resource Management

It is a goal of the County to support the conservation of our natural resources, preservation of our natural beauty and rural character, and the enhancement of our recreational opportunities. The implementation of this goal is through continuous long-term land use policies to guide growth and development into defined growth areas to help preserve the rural character of our County. Easements and fee simple purchases of natural resource land have been the main strategies of the State Green Print and State funded Rural Legacy programs. Newer programs such as the Conservation Resource Enhancement Program (CREP) have added to our opportunities for land preservation and natural resource conservation. There are also numerous regulatory ordinances, functional plans, and resource based programs that are used to help achieve our short and long-term goals. A brief description of these documents and programs are outlined below.

Implementation of Previous Plan

Goals outlined in the previous plan revolved largely around the general goals established with the Comprehensive Plan. One of the primary goals of the 2002 Comprehensive Plan is to "Encourage the stewardship of the environment and the County's heritage." As part of this goal there are nine objectives listed to assist in achieving this overall goal. They range from limiting and balancing new growth with environmental concerns to encouraging recycling and resource conservation.



Recommendations from the previous plan provided general information regarding routine watershed management program being implemented by the County. Programs such as the Forest Conservation Act and grants for a watershed assessment were discussed as tools that we should continue to use as part of our watershed management plans. The County has surpassed these minimal goals established for watershed assessments by implementing a full watershed management program housed within the Division of Environmental Management. This program is detailed in later sections of this chapter.

The Forest Conservation Act continues to be an important tool in the goals to promote resource conservation, water quality, and stormwater management. Some progress has been made by the County in implementing the Act but the recent economic recession has been the most influential effect on forest conservation efforts. Decreased demand for new development has reduced the pressure on forest resources.

[Comprehensive Plan](#)

The primary purpose of the Comprehensive Plan is to manage growth and development in a manner that is efficient and responsible and provides for the harmonious development of an area while also protecting the various resources needed in a community. Washington County has used the principal of building growth into designated areas, known as Growth Areas, where infrastructure and resources are already in place to handle new development while at the same time promoting the preservation of our Rural Areas through land preservation and natural resource conservation efforts.

[Land Use Plan](#)

Designated Growth Areas are located in the vicinity of existing large-scale development that have existing infrastructure available to support new growth. The land use designations within established growth area promote higher residential densities and locations for numerous commercial and industrial areas. Currently Washington County has one large Urban Growth Area that surrounds the City of Hagerstown and the Towns of Williamsport and Funkstown. There are also smaller growth areas established around the Towns of Smithsburg, Hancock, Clear Spring, and Boonsboro.

To further support and encourage development to occur within designated growth areas, the Comprehensive Plan also specifically calls out the Rural Areas of the County as resource areas that should be preserved and protected. This is accomplished with policies and recommendations to limit new residential development in these areas and promote open space through various land preservation programs. Rural land use policy areas delineated in the Comprehensive Plan include:

[Agriculture](#)

The Agriculture land use policy area is primarily associated with sections of the County in the Great Hagerstown Valley. It extends around most of the UGA and south to Boonsboro. Another area of the County with the Agriculture land use policy area is from the Conococheague Creek west to the foot of Fairview Mountain. The Agriculture policy area has been purposely drawn to enclose large blocks of the best soils for intensive agricultural production. Most of the operating farms as well as the largest block of farmland preserved through various land preservation programs are in this area.

[Environmental Conservation](#)



The Environmental Conservation policy area is associated with locations in the County where environmental sensitivity issues are prominent enough to warrant constraints on development. It includes steep slopes and forested areas on mountainsides as well as the steep slopes, flood-plains, and forested areas along the Potomac River, lower Antietam Creek, Conococheague Creek and Beaver Creek.

Preservation

The Preservation policy area was designated to become the foundation for land preservation efforts in the Rural Area. This policy area includes the County designated Rural Legacy Area, Federal lands, State parks, State wildlife management areas, and County parks. This area is designated to have the most restrictive limitation on development in the Rural Area to support preservation efforts in these areas.

The County Land Use Plan map is provided in Appendix A.

Special Planning Areas

In addition to recommending land use controls for specific rural areas in the County, the Comprehensive Plan also designates Special Planning and Program Areas. These are applied as overlays to the land use policy areas to indicate the existence of a feature which warrants more review and protection. As shown on the Special Program Areas Map some of the designated areas include; the Edgemont and Smithsburg Reservoir Watersheds, Appalachian Trail Corridor, Upper Beaver Creek Basin and Beaver Creek Trout Hatchery, Antietam Battlefield Overlay, Civil War Heritage Areas, National Scenic Road designations, American Heritage River designations, rail trails, greenways, and blueways. This is first step toward developing a Green Infrastructure Assessment.

The County Special Planning and Program Areas map is in Appendix A.

One of the primary methods used to manage growth in Washington County is through the establishment of defined growth areas as part of the overall Land Use Plan.

Sensitive Areas Element

The issue of sensitive areas and their importance is not a new topic to the County. Since the first Comprehensive Plan adopted in 1971 the County has targeted these areas for their importance in the environmental health and natural benefits. Sensitive areas were formalized and defined as part of the Planning Act of 1992. Included in the definition of a sensitive area are streams and their buffers, 100-year floodplains, habitats of threatened and endangered species, and steep slopes.

Also as part of the Planning Act, local jurisdictions were permitted and encouraged to identify additional sensitive areas that may be unique and locally important. The Comprehensive Plan adopted in 1981 had already brought attention to several unique areas in the County that were worthy of additional consideration to limit the impacts of development in these areas. These areas included the Smithsburg/Edgemont Watershed, the Beaver Creek Watershed, and the Appalachian Trail corridor. In 1996, the County formally adopted amendments to the Subdivision and Zoning Ordinance giving special consideration to the effects of development on these unique areas.



Watershed Management Programs

Washington County lies entirely within the Potomac River Watershed. The Potomac River is one of three major watershed basins that drain into the Chesapeake Bay.

One of the primary goals of any government is to provide a safe water supply. Since 1983 the Chesapeake Bay Partnership (CBP) has used written agreements to guide the restoration of the Bay and its watershed. These agreements have been revised from time to time to revise or include goals that are



Photo 12: The Potomac River along Cushwa Basin in Washington County, MD

in line with the best available technology and advancements in science. The latest reaffirmation of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement in 2014 has provided the impetus for the State, in partnership with the Counties and incorporated municipalities, to develop long term plans for watershed protection and water quality improvements.

The County's first major foray into watershed management began in 1992. A targeted watershed management project was initiated by the Washington County Soil Conservation District for the Little Antietam Creek and Marsh Run sub-watersheds. The area was expanded in 1996 to include the Beaver Creek watershed. A Soil Conservation Planner was hired to complete a watershed assessment and to begin educational efforts in the targeted sub-watersheds. This was funded by an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Nonpoint source grant from Section 319 of the Clean Water Act and was obtained through the MD Department of Agriculture. A conservation technician was hired to help install best management practices (BMP) identified by the planner in Soil and Water Conservation Programs. This program has continued in the Beaver Creek and Marsh Run sub-watersheds.

Water Quality and Stormwater Management


Since the adoption of the 2012 LPPRP the State and local jurisdictions have worked together to develop the next phase of a Statewide Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP). The Phase 2 WIP continues efforts to establish goals and measure progress toward water quality standards and their impacts on the Chesapeake Bay Watershed.

In response to the undertaking of the County to assist the State with data collection and analysis for the WIP development the County has redefined its stormwater management program. A watershed management planner was hired to help oversee the program. Since the adoption of the last LPPRP the County has greatly expanded both its education efforts as well as its implementation efforts. To improve education, Staff from the Division of Environmental Management have worked with the local schools and the County Board of Education to make presentations to students about the challenges and opportunities to improve water quality. Staff also attends several special events in the County each year to educate the public on methods to help protect water resources such as usage of rain barrels, usage of grey water to maintain outdoor areas, and household water conservation techniques.

Land Use management is another key focus area related to the County's watershed management efforts. In 2010, the County adopted the Stormwater Management, Grading, Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance. This new ordinance adopted stormwater management guidelines in



accordance with State law to require the implementation of best management practices (BMPs) of environmental site design (ESD) to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). The implementation of this Ordinance has helped reduce the negative impacts of land development on water resources and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of streams in the County.



Map 11: Watersheds in Washington County, MD

Forest Resource Management Programs


In 1991 the State passed the Maryland Forest Conservation Act. The intent of the law is to minimize the loss of forest land from development and ensure that priority areas for forest locations are identified and protected prior to development. After passage of the law the County drafted and adopted the Forest Conservation Ordinance to provide local regulatory support to the Act. Implementation of the Forest Conservation Ordinance serves a dual purpose in that it protects valuable forest resources for future generations and it encourages the implementation of forest resources in sensitive areas where it is also valuable to the overall ecosystem.

According to the 15-year Forest Conservation Act Review the State overall has been successful in limiting clearing of forest resources. Nearly all Counties subject to the law, including Washington County, have been able to retain 65-70% of forest resources made susceptible to clearing by



development. Washington County specifically has retained nearly 2,000 acres of forest since the inception of the Act in 1993.³

In addition, the cooperative Forest Conservation Act Program managed by the Washington County Soil Conservation District (SCD) provides stream buffering and protection by means of easement purchase of existing forest or planting of new forest. Efforts are focused on the most sensitive areas along streams, steep slopes, and those areas providing wildlife habitat or other environmental benefits. The SCD locates willing landowners, then manages the various stages of forest conservation or tree planting and monitors the sites for 20 years after the establishment of the forest conservation areas. It is funded using money placed in the Forest Conservation Fund by developers. (See Map 12)




Map 12: Steep Slopes and Forested Areas in Washington County, MD

Streams and Floodplains

There are several regulatory Ordinances in the County that work in concert to limit the damaging effects of development on local waterways such as streams and floodplains. These ordinances include the Subdivision Ordinance and the Floodplain Management Ordinance. (See Map 13)

³ Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Forest Service, “15-year FCA review”.



Map 13: Streams and Floodplains in Washington County, MD

Subdivision Ordinance/Zoning Ordinance

The County Subdivision Ordinance outlines basic requirements for the location and orderly arrangement of new subdivisions as they relate to various aspects of development including environmental impacts. The Zoning Ordinance also provides a regulatory framework for new development. Both the Subdivision Ordinance and the Zoning Ordinance specifically define streams and their associated buffers and floodplains as areas sensitive to the impacts of development and therefore require additional mitigation efforts to reduce said impacts.

To help reduce environmental impacts, development that occurs on land that contains a perennial or intermittent stream is required to provide a dimensional buffer proportionate to the amount of slope immediately adjacent to the waterway. Such buffers are applied to both sides of the waterway and restrict development and land disturbance within these areas. Development is also restricted within areas located in the boundaries of the 100-year floodplains as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Installation of new septic systems and their associated drainage areas are prohibited in both stream buffers and floodplains.

Floodplain Management Ordinance


The purpose of the Floodplain Management Ordinance is to protect human life and to minimize



impacts on infrastructure, property, and the natural environment. By delineating flood prone areas, development can be directed away from those areas and allow for the inevitable evolution of the waterway. New construction and/or disturbance of the land within designated floodplain areas is severely limited and in some cases prohibited. Most construction is required to be elevated to a point at or above the base flood level.

Habitat and Wildlife

Conservation efforts for habitat protection are crucial to limiting harmful impacts on the wildlife and overall ecosystem. This is especially true for plants and animals currently listed on the Federally Threatened and Endangered Species List. There are currently three species in Washington County (two plants and one animal) listed as endangered by the US Fish and Wildlife Service. There is also one animal species listed as threatened on the Federal listing. The State of Maryland Wildlife and Heritage Service also maintains a list of statewide threatened and endangered species habitats. Information regarding State designated threatened and endangered species can be found on their website at www.dnr.maryland.gov/wildlife.



Map 14: Endangered Species Map for Washington County, MD


At this time, it is known that habitats of federally listed species in Washington County appear to be limited to a few rural areas in the western portion of the county where large scale or large amounts



of development are not encouraged. Furthermore, large portions of the areas where these habitats exist are currently under Federal or State government ownership. State designated threatened and endangered species are sporadically scattered across the County and are also contained primarily within areas that are owned by the State or Federal government. (See Map 14)

The State also has programs in place to help identify ecologically significant areas including Maryland's Biodiversity Conservation Network (BioNet) and Maryland Greenprint.

- BioNet – prioritizes areas of statewide importance for the conservation of species and natural habitat into a 5-tiered system, with Tier 1 being the most important for conservation. In Washington County, approximately 22,673 acres are classified as Tier 1 or II while about 80,795 acres are Tier III, IV or V.




Map 15: MD BioNet for Washington County, MD

- Maryland Greenprint – identifies areas of high ecological value, known as Targeted Ecological Areas (TEAs) and promotes protection of these areas. According to MD DNR, “These areas represent the most ecologically valuable areas in the State: they are the ‘best of the best’”. The primary source of funding to protect these areas is through the Statewide Program Open Space program. Most of the areas designated as TEAs by the



State are also located in the Environmental Conservation and Preservation land use policy areas of the County Comprehensive Plan. The land use policy areas mimic the State policies that these areas have ecological value and development should be limited in its volume and type.



Map 16: Maryland Greenprint Areas in Washington County, MD



AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION AND EASEMENT ACQUISITION PROGRAMS

Executive Summary

In the 1970's, housing booms marked the arrival of a new era of fast paced urbanization projects that spread into historically rural areas. This trend of urban sprawl into historically rural areas caused a marked decline in agricultural resources and spurred efforts within the County to preserve quality agricultural land. At the same time, many State and Federal agencies were also developing different conservation programs directed at preserving farmland on a larger scale. In April 1978 the County established a new land preservation program. It consisted at the time of one easement program started by the State known as the Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Program (MALPP). Over the 40-year period, the County's land preservation program has been very active; it has grown to the administration of seven different programs that have permanently preserved over 28,000 acres of land. To leverage the greatest benefit from the MALPP program, Washington County participates in, and is certified by, the Program for the Certification of County Agricultural Land Preservation Programs. The most recent re-certification was approved in September 2017.

Agricultural Industry in Washington County

Since its establishment in 1776, Washington County has been a primarily rural agrarian society. Agriculture is still currently the primary land use in the County. According to the US Department of Agriculture: Census of Agriculture, in 2012 there were approximately 129,600 acres of land in the County included in farms. The USDA Census of Agriculture defines a farm as "any place from which \$1,000 or more of agricultural products were produced and sold, or normally would have been sold, in the census year." As shown in the table below, the amount of land associated with farms decreased sharply between 1978 and 1992. However, between 1997 and 2012 the figures have stabilized and show a small gain in 2012 (see Table 8). Also shown in this table are the number of farms and the average size of farms over the same period of 1978 to 2012. While the number of farms has varied widely over the period, the average size of farms in the County has stayed mostly steady between 150 and 160 acres.

Area, Number and Size of Farms in Washington County, MD 1978-2012								
	1978	1982	1987	1992	1997	2002	2007	2012
Land in Farms	151,065	145,983	137,529	123,932	126,292	125,159	114,065	129,600
# of Farms	878	962	906	809	768	775	844	860
Average Size of Farms	172	152	152	153	164	161	135	151

Source: USDA Census of Agriculture

Table 8: Statistical Changes in Agricultural Land in Washington County, MD (1978-2012)

After adoption of the 1980 Comprehensive Plan the County began to track the amount of land preserved vs. the amount of land converted to uses other than agriculture or woodlands. This became a new metric by which the County could more accurately determine if land preservation programs and other land management programs are effectively reducing sprawl and preserving open space. As shown in the chart below, after an initial period of high conversion vs. preservation, the County has continued to outpace conversion with land preservation efforts for the last 30 years.




Figure 6: Agricultural Lands Converted vs. Preserved in Washington County, MD (1981-2015)

Goals for Agricultural Land Preservation

State Goals for Agricultural Land Preservation

- ◆ Permanently preserve agricultural land capable of supporting a reasonable diversity of agricultural production;
- ◆ Protect natural, forestry and historic resources and the rural character of the landscape associated with Maryland's farmland;
- ◆ To the greatest degree possible, concentrate preserved land in large, relatively contiguous blocks to effectively support long-term protection of resources and resource-based industries;
- ◆ Limit the intrusion of development and its impacts on rural resources and resource-based industries;
- ◆ Ensure good return on public investment by concentrating state agricultural land preservation funds in areas where the investment is reasonably well supported by both local investment and land use management programs;
- ◆ Work with local governments to achieve the following:
 - Establish preservation areas, goals and strategies through local comprehensive planning processes that address and complement state goals;
 - In each area designated for preservation, develop a shared understanding of goals and the strategy to achieve them among rural landowners, the public-at-large and state and local government officials;
 - Protect the equity interests of rural landowners in preservation areas by ensuring sufficient public commitment and investment in preservation through easement acquisition and incentive programs;
 - Use local land use management authority effectively to protect public investment in



- preservation by managing development in rural preservation areas;
- o Establish effective measures to support profitable agriculture, including assistance in production, marketing and the practice of stewardship, so that farming remains a desirable way of life for both the farmer and public-at-large.

Local Goals for Agricultural Land Preservation

The agriculture industry plays a major role in the economy, sustainability, and overall character of Washington County. Recognizing this fact, the County has developed several goals and objectives in the Comprehensive Plan to help support growth of the industry. Primarily, Comprehensive Plan Goal #2 states the County's priority in supporting the agriculture industry by *"Promot[ing] a balanced and diversified economy, including agriculture."* The main agricultural objective to this end is to "Maintain at least 50,000 acres in the county in agricultural production by expanding current agricultural land preservation initiatives with an emphasis on preserving farming as a way of life and promoting the agricultural support industry." This acreage goal was developed in the early 1990s in coordination with the Agricultural Extension Office and the University of Maryland based on an evaluation of critical land mass needed to support the agriculture industry.

Listed below are excerpts of goals and objectives from the Plan to demonstrate the County's desire to promote the agricultural industry.

Environmental Resources Management

- ◆ Continue efforts to develop permanent funding sources that can sustain an agricultural easement and development rights acquisition program.
- ◆ Continue the Agricultural District Program as an interim program to support agricultural preservation until agricultural easements can be acquired.
- ◆ Develop setbacks, screening and buffering for residential development proposed adjacent to agricultural preservation districts or easements that would require mitigation to protect the integrity of the agricultural property and not the proposed residential development.
- ◆ Work with the Washington County Soil Conservation District and the Agricultural Extension Agency to enhance current regulatory requirements that address animal waste collection and disposal processes to insure balance with environmental concerns.

Implementation Programs and Services

Implementation of Previous Plan

Since the adoption of the 2012 LPPRP the County has made progress toward meeting the goals and recommendations of that Plan. In the 2012 LPPRP several general implementation programs were discussed involving assessment of existing programs and recommended methods for improvement. Those same programs are continued into this document.

The primary method used in Washington County to implement the goals for agricultural land preservation is through easement acquisition. Through a combination of several land preservation programs the County spent approximately \$18,200,000 to preserve about 4400 acres of land in the years 2006-2010 according to the 2012 LPPRP. In this update the County reports that almost \$9.8 million was spent to preserve about 2600 acres. The reason for the large difference between the two



plans relate to the recent economic recession. Easement funds were higher in 2006-2010 because of heightened development. The following recession drastically reduced the funding available for easement purchase. The County has continued to look for alternative funding mechanisms such as Transferable Development Rights (TDRs) and an Installment Purchase Program (IPP).

The IPP program has proven to be quite successful in obtaining new easements. The program recently finished paying the first 10-year cycle of easements and found the program to be so useful that the program was extended for a new cycle of easement purchase. While the IPP program has been successful the concept of a TDR program has been all but abandoned. Rising housing costs, increased infrastructure needs (i.e. residential sprinkler systems, advanced technology septic systems, and increased stormwater management needs), and low median household incomes have nearly priced average County citizens out of the market. It has been determined that adding additional costs like those associated with TDR programs would be too much of a burden if the County wishes to maintain a high level of home ownership.

Assistance programs have been put in place over the years to help support the agriculture industry. Past accomplishments have been the hiring of an Agricultural Marketing Specialist, continued support of the Agriculture Education Center, and adoption of a Right to Farm Ordinance. These programs have been successful in educating the public on agricultural techniques and sourcing of food resources. The marketing specialist continues to provide opportunities for local farmers to sell products locally at farm markets and special events. This position also continues to give a face to local agricultural operations and farms by using technology (farm market app) and event planning (ag expo, farmers markets) to bring the buyer and seller together.

The right to farm ordinance is another tool used by the County to help educate the public on the operations of the agricultural industry. The purpose of the Ordinance is to candidly make new property owners aware of the potential conflicts between an agricultural operation and residential uses. It is also intended to provide some protection to existing farm operations from the potential complaints of encroaching development regarding issues such as noise, odor, and insect control. While a useful tool if needed, there has been only one case brought before the Right to Farm Board since its inception.

Land Preservation and Easement Acquisition

The primary efforts to protect and preserve agricultural land are still through the purchase of development rights with various land preservation programs administered by the County. Easement purchase programs such as the Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Program (MALPP), Rural Legacy, Farm and Ranchland Protection Program (FRPP) (recently reorganized into the Agricultural Conservation Easement Program), Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP), and Installment Payment Purchases (IPP) are being used to further our goal of permanently preserving 50,000 acres of land. The County has also continued to work with several land trust organizations such as the Maryland Environmental Trust and the Civil War Trust to gain additional land preservation easements. As shown in the chart below, the County continues to have success in easement purchases.



Land Preservation Expenditures FY 2011-2016				
	Program	Acres	Farms	Amount
2011	MALPF			
	Rural Legacy	233.12	3	\$1,131,487
	MET	10.68	1	\$0
	CREP	102.49	3	\$382,683
	Subtotal	346.29	7	\$1,514,171
2012	MALPF	115.27	1	\$480,702
	Rural Legacy	50.55	1	\$258,160
	MET			
	CREP	97.87	4	\$369,635
	Subtotal	263.69	6	\$1,108,496
2013	MALPF			
	Rural Legacy	329.05	4	\$1,299,618
	MET	1.09	1	\$0
	CREP	115.58	3	\$437,927
	Subtotal	445.72	8	\$1,737,545
2014	MALPF	152.29	1	\$906,713
	Rural Legacy	317.30	2	\$1,024,494
	MET			
	CREP	0.00	0	\$0
	Subtotal	469.59	3	\$1,931,207
2015	MALPF	41.14	1	\$0
	Rural Legacy	70.90	2	\$215,404
	MET			
	CREP	99.83	3	\$323,999
	Subtotal	211.87	6	\$539,403
2016	MALPF	185.85	1	\$727,500
	Rural Legacy	510.69	6	\$1,620,781
	MET			
	CREP	170.24	2	\$595,952
	Subtotal	866.77	9	\$2,944,233
Grand Total		2,603.94	39	\$9,775,055

Table 9: Land Preservation Expenditures in Washington County, MD

Most easement acquisitions come from the MALPP and Rural Legacy Programs. The MALPP is a joint easement program between the State and the County to acquire highly productive agricultural land by purchasing easements that extinguish development rights on a property. The Rural Legacy Program works much the same way except this program broadens the scope of easement purchase to environmentally sensitive properties. A full listing of easements settled to date is in Appendix B. Other tools used by the County to assist in the protection of farmland are preferential tax treatment for agriculturally assessed land, agricultural zoning and the Agricultural District Program.



The Agricultural District Program encourages landowners to voluntarily enter an Agricultural Land Preservation District in which it is agreed that the land will not be developed for a period of at least five years. In return for that restriction, the landowner receives protection from nuisance complaints and becomes eligible to sell a Development Rights Easement. The owner may exercise the option of selling an easement to the Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation provided that the offer to sell is recommended by the County's Advisory Board and the County Commissioners. Locally, the Agricultural Advisory Board reviews and ranks easement applications, assigning point value to items such as farm size, soil quality and development pressure. If purchased by the State, the easement will remain in effect in perpetuity.

As is usually the case with easement purchase programs, funding continues to be the major limiting factor in attaining our goals. The land preservation program has been continuing to try to adapt to the lack of funding through alternative efforts such as land donation, long term purchase programs (i.e. IPPs where installment payments are made over a 10-year period), and easement donations that can be offset through tax credits and incentives.

Another limiting factor in using easement purchase programs has become the overwhelming amount of documentation and easement preparation. Property owners are becoming intimidated by the amount of restriction and cost of legal representation to the point that easements are beginning to be viewed as too restrictive or complicated to be worth the property owner's time to invest.

Assistance Programs

The County continues to use an Agricultural Marketing Specialist to assist in promoting the agricultural industry in Washington County. The Agricultural Marketing Office is responsible for developing, marketing, and managing economic development strategies and implementing marketing programs to attract, retain, preserve and grow agricultural enterprises and related industries in Washington County. Since its inception, the Agricultural Marketing Office has enhanced the visibility of the agriculture industry in the County by promoting farmers markets, ag expos, farm tours, agri-tourism events, and educational and safety courses.

Most recently the office has released a new mobile app called Agri-tourism in Washington County, MD. The app provides locations of Farmer's markets, discusses the benefits of agriculture, provides news updates on upcoming agri-tourism events, and introduces the public to local farmers. It is an effort to close the gap between the producer and the purchaser supporting local and statewide efforts to endorse "Locally Grown" initiatives.

Another important project the County Commissioners have supported is the Agriculture Education Center. Owned and operated by the County, with financial assistance from the State, the Education Center holds events year-round to promote and educate people about the agricultural industry. It includes the Rural Heritage Museum featuring exhibits depicting early rural life in Washington County prior to 1940. A second museum building houses larger pieces of farm equipment and farm implements. It shows the progression from





human powered and horse drawn equipment to the motorized era.

The Rural Heritage Farmstead began in 1999 when a windmill was relocated to the upper portion of the property. Since then, there have been many additions including two log homes, an outdoor drying shed, a brick wood fired bread oven, and a pavilion to house a sawmill.



The gardens include a German Four-Square garden filled with heirloom plants including vegetables, herbs, and flowers. There is also a large garden for planting potatoes for the museum's annual Spud Fest, which was recently expanded to include three sisters, rye, wheat, and a berry patch.

Located on the lower grounds, adjacent to the museum buildings is the Rural Heritage Village which continues to grow. Currently, there is a log church, a log home, and a Doctor's Office. Future plans for the village include a cobbler and broom makers shop, a carpenter's shop, and a blacksmith shop. This exhibit will serve to educate the visitor about life in Washington County in the decades surrounding the Civil War.

Land Use Management

Washington County land use policies and decisions are guided by the 2002 Comprehensive Plan. Since its adoption, various economic, environmental, and social changes have occurred that continue to dynamically shape our local land use policies. However, our primary goal of directing development into designated growth areas and preservation of our open spaces has continued to be the primary objective in land management policies.

To that end, the County has evaluated and amended several regulatory documents to implement this primary objective. In 2005, the Board of County Commissioners adopted new rural area zoning districts that reduced the amount of potential development allowed outside of designated Growth Area boundaries. Four new zoning districts were designated and applied in the rural areas of the County to decrease development pressure and increase open space protections. The four zoning districts include:

Agriculture Rural District

Permits residential density at a rate of one (1) dwelling unit per five (5) acres of land

Environmental Conservation District

Permits residential density at a rate of one (1) dwelling unit per twenty (20) acres of

Preservation District

Permits residential density at a rate of one (1) dwelling unit per thirty (30) acres of land owned.


Rural Village District

Provides small areas with existing dense residential development to allow for infill.

In 2010, the County amended its Comprehensive Plan to include Priority Preservation Areas. Three large and three small areas totaling 74,854 acres were delineated in accordance with the Agricultural Stewardship Act of 2006 as areas where land preservation efforts should be directed. Location within these areas was added as a category for evaluation as part of the MALPP easement purchase priority



ranking system. Inclusion in this evaluation has helped direct land preservation funding more efficiently into areas of existing land preservation. Currently, approximately 26,408 acres within the PPAs are under permanent preservation easements.



Map 17: Priority Preservation Areas in Washington County, MD

In 2012 and 2016, the County completed Comprehensive rezoning of the Urban Growth Area and Town Growth Areas respectively. These amendments included increased residential development densities in areas where infrastructure is currently available.

The strategy outlined in the 2012 LPPRP to, “Work with the Washington County Soil Conservation District and the Agricultural Extension Agency to enhance current regulatory requirements that address animal waste collection and disposal processes to ensure balance with environmental concerns” has resulted in a coordination of effort between Washington County Staff and Soil Conservation personnel in implementing best management practices in land preservation and agricultural practices in general, including:

- ◆ CREP and Rural Legacy projects employ the use of stream buffers and another agricultural BMP’s;
- ◆ Many MALPF and Ag District holders have been working with SCD to implement



State and federal required BMP's on private lands;


- ◆ The Ag community has been generally accepting of these processes and has put forth exceptional efforts to curb any adverse effects on the environment.



APPENDICIES



APPENDIX A

Full Sized Maps



Physiographic Provinces

Land Preservation,
Parks and Recreation Plan

-  Roads
-  Ridge and Valley
-  Blue Ridge Province
-  Hagerstown Valley
-  Municipal Boundaries
-  Washington County

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.


Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\PhysiographicProvinces_county.mxd



Data Sources:
Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
Washington County Department of
Planning and Zoning
Geographic Information Systems





Natural Features

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

-  Roads
-  Streams & Rivers
-  Forest
-  Municipal Boundaries
-  Growth Areas
-  County Boundary

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.


Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\NaturalFeatures.mxd



Data Sources:
 2011 County Land Use Land Cover
 Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of
 Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

1776




Agricultural Preservation

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

-  Roads
-  Local, State or Federal Property Ownership
-  Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program
-  IPP Easements
-  MMLT Easements
-  Rural Legacy Easements
-  Other Permanent Easements
-  County Ag Districts
-  MALPF Easements
-  Municipal Boundaries
-  Washington County

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\AgriculturalPreservation.mxd




Data Sources:
 United States National Park Service
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

1776

Parks

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan



Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\Parks_County.mxd




Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD Department of Natural Resources
Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of
 Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems



Parks

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan




Federal Parks		
Antietam Battlefield		FD 1
C & O Canal		FD 2
Catoctin Mountain Park		FD 3
Harpers Ferry National Historic Park		FD 4
State Parks		
Albert Powell Hatchery		ST 1
Box Turtle WMA		ST 2
Brownsville Pond		ST 3
Fort Frederick		ST 4
Gathland State Park		ST 5
Greenbrier State Park		ST 6
Indian Springs WMA		ST 7
Kinsey Heights Resource Area		ST 8
Potomac Island		ST 9
Prather's Neck Wildlife Management Area		ST 10
Round Top Hill Heritage Conservation Funds		ST 11
Sideling Hill Wildlife Management Area		ST 12
South Mountain State Park		ST 13
Tonoloway Park		ST 14
Washington Monument State Park		ST 15
Western Maryland Rail Trail		ST 16
Weverton/Roxbury Rail Corridor		ST 17
Woodmont		ST 18
County Parks		
Agricultural Education Center		CO 1
Black Rock Golf Course		CO 2
Camp Harding		CO 3
Chestnut Grove		CO 4
Clear Spring Park		CO 5
Devil's Backbone		CO 6
Doubs Woods		CO 7
Kemps Mill Site		CO 8
Marty Snook		CO 9
Mount Briar Wetland		CO 10
Mount Tammany Park		CO 11
Pen Mar		CO 12
Pinesburg Ballfields		CO 13
Piper Lane		CO 14
Pleasant Valley		CO 15
Sideling Hill Overlook		CO 16
Washington County Regional Park		CO 17
Wilson Bridge		CO 18
Woodland Way		CO 19

City Parks	
Bloom Park	CY 1
Cultural Park	CY 2
Funkhouser Park	CY 3
Greenawald Park	CY 4
Hager Park	CY 5
Hagerstown City Park	CY 6
Hagerstown Fairgrounds	CY 7
Hagerstown Greens at Hamilton Run	CY 8
Hamilton Park Playground	CY 9
Hellane Park	CY 10
Kiwanis Park	CY 11
Memorial Park	CY 12
Mill Street Little League	CY 13
Mills Park	CY 14
Municipal Stadium	CY 15
National Road Park	CY 16
Oswald Park	CY 17
Pangborn Park	CY 18
Potterfield Pool/Staley Park	CY 19
Ridge Avenue Playground	CY 20
Rotary Club of Longmeadow	CY 21
Terrapin Park	CY 22
University Plaza	CY 23
Wheaton Park	CY 24

Town Parks	
Bill Doub Park	TN 1
Breathered Park	TN 2
Funkstown Community Park	TN 3
Gerber Recreation Area	TN 4
Joseph Hancock Junior Park	TN 5
Keedysville Slo-Pitch Field	TN 6
Kinsey Heights Rec. Area	TN 7
Kirk Woods Park	TN 8
Lonnie Lee Crampton Park	TN 9
Mark A. Smith Park	TN 10
River Bottom Park	TN 11
Shafer Park	TN 12
Sharpsburg Town Pond	TN 13
Smithsburg Lions Community Park	TN 14
Springfield Farm	TN 15
Taylor Park	TN 16
Veteran's Park	TN 17
W. D. Byron Park	TN 18
Widmeyer Park	TN 19

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.





Park Equity


Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

Combination of population factors: high concentration of population below the poverty line, high population density, low access to parks and high concentration of children under 17 years of age

- | | |
|--|--|
|  Roads |  Medium-Low Need |
|  Municipal Boundaries |  Medium Need |
|  County Boundary |  Medium-High Need |
|  Low Need |  High Need |

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\EquityMap.mxd




Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD DNR Society Data
 ParkEquity2016_Quantile
 17andunder02172016

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of
 Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

Proximity to Parks

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan





**Parks included in proximity are County, City or Town Maintained Parks ONLY*

Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD Department of Natural Resources
Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\ProximitytoParks.mxd





Proximity to Natural Areas

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

Natural Areas for the purpose of this map include Federal and State Park Lands.

-  Roads
-  More than 5 Miles
- Distance to Natural Areas**
-  Within 1 Mile
-  Between 1 Mile and 3 Miles
-  Between 3 Miles and 5 Miles
-  Municipal Boundaries

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\ProximitytoNatAreas.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD Department of Natural Resources
 United States National Park Service

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems



Proximity to Water Access


Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

A combination of Potomac River and other soft launches and boat ramps including the Antietam Water Trail.




Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\WaterAccess.mxd




Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD Department of Natural Resources
 Washington County Potomac River Atlas
 Washington County Antietam Water Trail
Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems



Trail and Water Access

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan



Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\trails_water.mxd

Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD Department of Natural Resources

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of
 Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems



Proximity to Trail Access


Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

Trails include walking, biking, hiking and equestrian trails maintained on a Federal, State or Local level.


-  Roads
-  Municipal Boundaries
- Trail Access**
-  Within 1 Mile
-  Between 1 Mile and 3 Miles
-  Between 3 Miles and 5 Miles
-  More than 5 Miles
-  County Boundary

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\Trail Access.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Planning
 MD Department of Natural Resources
Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of
 Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems



Watersheds

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

	Municipal Boundaries		Little Tonoaway Creek
	County Boundary		Marsh Run
Watershed Name			
	Antietam Creek		Tonoaway Creek
	Catoctin Creek		Upper Monocacy River
	Conococheague Creek		Potomac River
	Licking Creek		
	Little Conococheague		

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.


Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\Watersheds.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems






Steep Slopes and Forested Areas

Land Preservation,
Parks and Recreation Plan

-  Municipal Boundaries
-  County Boundary
-  Steep Slopes
-  Forest


Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\Forest&SteepSlopes.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Washington County, MD 2011 Land Use/Land Cover
 Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems




Endangered Species

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

-  Streams & Rivers
-  Municipal Boundaries
-  County Boundary
-  Federally Endangered Species
-  State-Listed Species
-  State Areas of Concern
-  Bald Eagle Nesting Areas

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.


Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\EndangeredSpecies.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

1776



MD BioNet Washington Co.

Land Preservation,
Parks and Recreation Plan

-  Roads
-  Municipal Boundaries
-  County Boundary
-  Tier 1
-  Tier 2
-  Tier 3
-  Tier 4
-  Tier 5

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.


Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\MDBioNet.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland Department of Planning


Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

1776



MD Greenprint Washington Co.

Land Preservation,
Parks and Recreation Plan


-  Roads
-  Municipal Boundaries
-  County Boundary
-  Targeted Ecological Areas 2011


Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\greenprint.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland Department of Planning
Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems






Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\PriorityPres_AgLands.mxd

Data Sources:
 United States National Park Service
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland Department of Planning
Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems






Comprehensive Plan Land Use

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|  | Roads |  | Urban Open Space |
|  | Industrial |  | Municipalities |
|  | Industrial / Flex |  | Special Economic Development Area |
|  | Commercial |  | Rural Village |
|  | Mixed Use Developments |  | Preservation |
|  | High Density Residential |  | Environmental Conservation |
|  | Low Density Residential |  | Agriculture |
|  | Community Facilities |  | County Boundary |


Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.


Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\Land Use Plan.mxd



Data Sources:
Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
Washington County Department of
Planning and Zoning
Geographic Information Systems





Special Program Areas

Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan

- | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
|  | Roads |  | Potential Rail Trail |
|  | Railroad Lines |  | Greenways |
|  | C & O Canal |  | County Designated Water Trails |
|  | National Scenic Road |  | American Heritage River |
|  | Civil War Heritage Routes |  | Appalachian Trail |
|  | State Designated Water Trails |  | Parks |
|  | Municipal Boundaries |  | Heart of the Civil War Heritage Area |
|  | County Boundary | | |

Note: This map is prepared for the sole purpose of illustrating the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan. It should not be used for other purposes. Information shown on this map was compiled from various original sources as listed and is subject to change as source data changes.

Document Path: T:\Policy\LPPRP\Maps\2017\SpecialProgramAreas.mxd



Data Sources:
 Maryland Department of Natural Resources
 Maryland State Highway Administration
 Maryland Department of Planning

Prepared By:
 Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning
 Geographic Information Systems

Appendix B

Parkland Inventory
Natural Resources Inventory
Agricultural Land Preservation Inventory

Washington County, Maryland
Natural Resources Inventory

Site Name	Property Size (acres)	Outdoor Recreational amenities	Trails	Water Access	Other
Federal Scenic Easements (approx. 170 properties)	1,872				These are mostly on private property and restrict impacts on various aspects of scenic viewsheds (i.e. tree cutting, structure locations)
Maryland Environmental Trust Easements (approx. 40 properties)	3,915				These are mostly on private property and restrict impacts on various aspects of environmental concern (i.e. State endangered species habitats, surface water pollution, riparian stream areas)
Other private easement programs (appeox. 10 properties)	478				These easements are mostly on private property and consist mostly of private non-profit land trusts that can restrict impacts on areas with specific importance to various organizations such as Save Historic Antietam Foundation, Mid-Maryland Trust, The Nature Conservancy, the Conservation Fund, and the Civil War Preservation Trust.
Forest Conservation Act Easements (approx. 150 properties)	1,056				These easements are mostly on private property and are part of mitigation plans required by the Forest Conservation Act when new development occurs.
Maryland State Parks	22,664				
Albert M. Powell FMA	66			x	Limited access, trout hatchery facility to provide for Statewide trout stocking
Brownsville Pond FMA	4	x		x	
Fort Frederick SP	722	x	x	x	
Fort Tonoloway SP	26	x			
Gathland SP	117	x	x		
Greenbrier SP	1,362	x	x	x	
Indian Springs WMA	6,596	x	x	x	
Islands of the Potomac WMA	66	x		x	
Lambs Knoll FT	1	x			
McClellans Lookout FT	9	x			
Prathers Neck WMA	215	x	x	x	
Sideling Hill WMA	2,615	x	x		
South Mountain SB	1,892	x	x		
South Mountain SP	4,955	x	x		
Washington Monument SP	129	x	x		
Western Maryland Rail Trail SP	291	x	x		
Weverton-Roxbury Corridor	178	x			
Woodmont NRMA	3,420	x	x		
Federal Parks	10,540				
Antietam Battlefield	1,937		x		
Appalachian National Scenic Trail	40 miles		x		
Chesapeake & Ohio Canal	7,840		x	x	
Harpers Ferry (MD Heights)	763		x	x	

Washington County, Maryland
Preserved Agricultural Lands Inventory

Site Name	Easement Size (Acres)	Protection Type (ownership or easement type)
Heimer, Jean and Lane	68.71	CREP
Schooley, David and Patricia	118.52	CREP
Czarra, Edgar F., Jr	296.57	CREP
WD Farms LLC	107.92	CREP
Engstrom, George and Carol	39.04	CREP
Stone, Elmer A., Jr.	150.04	CREP
Clagett, Virginia	194.04	CREP
Weaver, Carl P.	169.05	CREP
Stoner Family Farms LLC	81.97	CREP
Howell, William J.	127.45	CREP
David, Edwin E.	130.97	CREP
Cogan, Jerilyn J.	133.6	CREP
David, Edwin E.	59.88	CREP
Salgado, Marie E.	158.44	CREP
Bowers, Anna F. Gale	154.28	CREP
Payne/Holder	111.68	Rural Legacy
Ritondo	138.87	Rural Legacy
Czarra	148.13	Rural Legacy
Traska	53.82	Rural Legacy
Morgan	34.41	Rural Legacy
Young	45.67	Rural Legacy
Heron	132.34	Rural Legacy
Ingram	45.60	Rural Legacy
Pearson	98.37	Rural Legacy
Huffer	133.83	Rural Legacy
Ecker	246.98	Rural Legacy
Poffenberger	182.19	Rural Legacy
Mills; Gum Tree Farms	161.61	Rural Legacy
Burtner	107.11	Rural Legacy
Fisher	58.72	Rural Legacy
Foltz	22.35	Rural Legacy
W D Farms, LLC	49.76	Rural Legacy
Spoonire	51.47	Rural Legacy
Frye	74.96	Rural Legacy
Bonnet	34.19	Rural Legacy
Dreisch	104.47	Rural Legacy
Limekiln Road Partnership	322.66	Rural Legacy
Morgan	19.78	Rural Legacy
Morgan	206.68	Rural Legacy
Morgan	138.94	Rural Legacy
Bowes	39.71	Rural Legacy
Hirrlinger	39.76	Rural Legacy
King	145.61	Rural Legacy
Meyers	61.18	Rural Legacy
Vanfossen/Cogan	21.78	Rural Legacy
Morgan	31.84	Rural Legacy
Morgan	52.82	Rural Legacy
Sellers	182.94	Rural Legacy
Flook	122.41	Rural Legacy

Ecker		110.03	Rural Legacy
Stone		138.39	Rural Legacy
Williamson		116.45	Rural Legacy
Mullendore		201.40	Rural Legacy
Thomas		197.35	Rural Legacy
Price		139.02	Rural Legacy
Weaver		140.47	Rural Legacy
Morgan		10.35	Rural Legacy
MacBride		35.76	Rural Legacy
Hillenbrand		105.96	Rural Legacy
Saville		50.58	Rural Legacy
Flook		279.00	Rural Legacy
Matheny		49.57	Rural Legacy
Shaw		270.78	Rural Legacy
Price		120.94	Rural Legacy
Alexander; Woodley Farms		162.35	Rural Legacy
Sebold		98.73	Rural Legacy
Elmer T. Cline Farm Inc.		65.00	IPP
Martin, Myron and Janet		118.17	IPP
Barr, I. Bruce		115.60	IPP
Price Farms Inc.		253.00	IPP
Clopper, Tricia Bowman and Suzanne Bowman Winders		161.79	IPP
Arena, Anthony		130.20	IPP
Newcomer, Kathleen		125.10	IPP
Ziem, Robert/Ruth & Karen Bohman, Kurt Ziem & Eric Ziem		53.34	IPP
Barr, Phyllis		90.78	IPP
Ford		173.9	MALPF
Martin		140	MALPF
Weisenbaugh		314.007	MALPF
Hayes		200.6	MALPF
Robbins		448	MALPF
Main		142.46	MALPF
Strite		190.2	MALPF
Byers		164.61	MALPF
Herbst		172.12	MALPF
Herbst		183.99	MALPF
Corcoran		150.14	MALPF
Wiles		191	MALPF
Cline		145.25	MALPF
Price		149.64	MALPF
Trumpower		123.3	MALPF
Shifler		153.3	MALPF
Litton		145	MALPF
Barr		30	MALPF
Barr		70.72	MALPF
Roth		111.91	MALPF
Stockslager		145.37	MALPF
Faith		129.62	MALPF
Faith		132.63	MALPF
Schultz		69.368	MALPF
Schultz		70.716	MALPF
Buhrman		179	MALPF

Newcomer		55.5	MALPF
Martin		97.73	MALPF
Rowland		147.67	MALPF
Stitzel		264.13	MALPF
Myers, Jr.		151.08	MALPF
Cline		253.9	MALPF
Harding		123.56	MALPF
Carbaugh		192.22	MALPF
Clark		101	MALPF
Hornbaker		107.09	MALPF
Carbaugh		192.22	MALPF
Leather		178.3	MALPF
Rohrer		123.8	MALPF
Cavanaugh		245.8	MALPF
Warner		79.3	MALPF
Ernst		193.137	MALPF
Downs		118	MALPF
Corcoran		158.42	MALPF
Michael		210.92	MALPF
Michael		200.04	MALPF
Downs		145	MALPF
Michael		209.01	MALPF
Baker Farms LLC		115.22	MALPF
Worthington		41.14	MALPF
Downs		130	MALPF
Schnebly		152.286	MALPF
Emswiller, Charles		100	MALPF
Winters		186.144	MALPF
Ritchie		237.99	MALPF
Lohman		146	MALPF
Lohman		146	MALPF
Rowland		596.82	MALPF
Taulton		127.38	MALPF
Shriver		99.81	MALPF
Harp		150.51	MALPF
Harp		124.7	MALPF
Hunter		68.62	MALPF
Newcomer		113.28	MALPF
Churchey		183.01	MALPF
Durbin		100.38	MALPF
Worthington		108.92	MALPF
Harshman		226.7	MALPF
Carbaugh		144.19	MALPF
Carr		242	MALPF
Belz		247.63	MALPF
Kendle		129	MALPF
Oller		96.8	MALPF
Barnhart		148.9	MALPF
Ankeney		107.22	MALPF
Belz		135.15	MALPF
Coffman		260	MALPF
Ankeney		347.72	MALPF
Coffman		206.62	MALPF
Beard, Donald		135.513	MALPF
Loudenslager		145.04	MALPF
Total		21,928.59	

Appendix C

Parks, Facilities & Recreation Survey Survey Results

Online Survey Questions and Responses
2016 Survey
Washington County, Maryland

Washington County Department of Public Relations, in cooperation with the Washington County Department of Planning and Zoning and the Washington County Parks and Advisory Board developed and conducted a public survey to gauge the interest and participation in local Parks and Recreation programs and facilities. The survey was launched in March 2014 and ended in March 2016. The County received a total of 435 respondents.

The survey was divided into 3 categories relating to Recreation Programs (QP), Park Facilities (QF), and demographics (QD). A copy of the survey as well as a summary of the questions and responses to the survey is included in this appendix.


Recreation Programs:

QP1a: Did you or members of your family participate in Washington County Recreation Programs during the past year?

Options: Yes or No

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Yes	278	66.5%
No	140	33.5%
Total	418	100.0%




QP1b: Which youth programs did you participate in this year?

Options (Youth Programs): Youth Summer Day Camps, Pre-School Play Camp, Youth Swim Lessons, Giggles and Wiggles, Youth Dance, Youth Basketball Skills Camp, Youth Tennis, Youth Soccer, Tiny Tot Soccer, Youth Track Clubs, Youth Karate

Results:


	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Summer Day Camp	44	33.1%
Pre-School Camp	7	5.3%
Swim Lessons	23	17.3%
Giggles & Wiggles	5	3.8%
Dance	9	6.8%
Basketball	13	9.8%
Tennis	10	7.5%
Soccer	10	7.5%
Tiny Tot Soccer	2	1.5%
Track	4	3.0%
Karate	6	4.5%
Total	133	100.0%



Options (Youth Sports Leagues): High School Basketball, High School Summer Soccer, High School Futsal Soccer, Girls Volleyball

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Basketball	6	13.0%
Summer Soccer	11	23.9%
Futsal Soccer	7	15.2%
Volleyball	22	47.8%
Total	46	100.0%




QP1c: Which adult programs did you or your family members participate in this year?

Options (Adult Programs – Leagues): Soccer, Volleyball, Softball

Results:


	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Soccer	7	31.8%
Volleyball	11	50.0%
Softball	4	18.2%
Total	22	100.0%



Options (Adult Programs – Fitness): Walking Club, Zumba, Work Out Your Way, Ripped, Spin Cycling, Spin Fit, Total Fitness-Williamsport, Total Fitness-HCC, Exercise in Clear Spring, Ballet, Tap Dancing, Pilates

Results:


	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Walking	16	11.3%
Zumba	54	38.0%
Work Out	4	2.8%
Ripped	3	2.1%
Spin Cycling	10	7.0%
Spin Fit	4	2.8%
Total Fit-Williamsport	0	0.0%
Total Fit-HCC	0	0.0%
Clear Spring	33	23.2%
Ballet	4	2.8%
Tap	14	9.9%
Pilates	0	0.0%
Total	142	100.0%



Options (Adult Program – Sports): Karate, Tennis, Adult Indoor Futsal Soccer


Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Karate	5	33.3%
Tennis	9	60.0%
Futsal Soccer	1	6.7%
Total	15	100.0%




Options (Adult Programs – Senior Programming): Water Exercise, Arthritis

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Water Exercise	3	75.0%
Arthritis	1	25.0%
Total	4	100%




Options (Adult Programs – Drop-in Programs)

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Volleyball	9	52.9%
Basketball	4	23.5%
Hip Hop	0	0.0%
Zumba	4	23.5%
Total	17	100.0%



Options (Adult Programs – Special Events): Princess Party, Park @ Dark, Spooky Sprint, Super Heroes Party, Spring Egg Hunt, Phone Call from Santa, St. Patrick’s Day Race, Fitness Instructor Workshops & Certifications

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Princess Party	24	16.1%
Park@Dark	23	15.4%
Spooky Spring	10	6.7%
Super Heroes	19	12.8%
Egg Hunt	10	6.7%
Santa	10	6.7%
St. Patrick's Day	53	35.6%
Fitness Instructor	0	0.0%
Total	149	100.0%




QP2: How do you find out information about Recreation Department Programs?

Options: Word of mouth, Internet, Brochures, Newspapers, Community Calendar, Other

Results:

	# of Responses	% of Responses
Word of Mouth	165	25.1%
Internet	138	21.0%
Brochures	96	14.6%
Newspapers	131	19.9%
Calendar	40	6.1%
Other	88	13.4%
Total	658	100.0%




QP3: What recreation facilities do you use?

Options: HCC, Maugansville Elementary School, Pangborn Elementary School, Rockland Woods Elementary School, 4-Star Athletic and Fitness Complex

Results:

HCC	46	25.8%
Maugansville	58	32.6%
Pangborn	37	20.8%
Rockland Woods	23	12.9%
4-Star	14	7.9%
Totals	178	100.0%

QP3: What recreation facilities do you use?




QP4: How often do you visit a community recreation elementary school facility?

Options: Daily, Weekly, Occasionally, Hardly ever

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Daily	9	3.1%
Weekly	72	24.4%
Occasionally	50	16.9%
Hardly Ever	164	55.6%
Total	295	100.0%

QP4: How often do you visit a Community Recreation Elementary School Facility?




QP5: Pick the Facilities that you visit.

Options: Maugansville, Pangborn, Rockland Woods, Ruth Ann Monroe

Results:

	# of Responses	% of Responses
Maugansville	61	40.9%
Pangborn	30	20.1%
Rockland Woods	28	18.8%
Ruth Ann Monroe	30	20.1%
Total	149	100.0%




QP6: If there is a fee for a program, what would be the most convenient way for you to pay?

Options: Check, Cash, Credit Card, Debit Card

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Response
Check	94	26.9%
Cash	16	4.6%
Credit Card	186	53.3%
Debit Card	53	15.2%
Total	349	100.0%

QP6: If there is a fee for a program, what would be the most convenient way for you to pay?



Park Facilities:


QF1: How close are you to a County Park?

Options: <1/2 mile, 1/2 to 1 mile, 1 to 5 miles, > 5 miles

Results:

	# of Respondants to Question	% of Responses
<1/2 mile	44	10.9%
1/2 to 1 mile	47	11.6%
1 to 5 miles	180	44.4%
>5 miles	134	33.1%
Total	405	100.0%

Park Proximity




QF2: Do you or a household member use any of the any of the County Parks?

Options: Yes, No

Results:

	# of Respondents to Question	% of Responses
Yes	325	80.2%
No	80	19.8%
Total	405	100.0%




QF3: How often do you visit our County Parks?

Options: Daily, Weekly, Monthly, Occasionally, Rarely

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Daily	6	1.6%
Weekly	85	22.3%
Monthly	59	15.4%
Occasionally	177	46.3%
Rarely	55	14.4%
Total	382	100.0%

QF3: How often do you visit our County parks?




QF4: Please rate the conditions of the park(s) that you use.

Options: Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Excellent	135	37.0%
Good	216	59.2%
Fair	11	3.0%
Poor	3	0.8%
Total	365	100.0%

Park Conditions




QF5: Please select the County Parks you use.

Options: Camp Harding, Chestnut Grove, Clear Spring Park, Devils Backbone, Doub's Woods, Kemps Mill, Marty Snook Park, Mt. Briar Wetland, Pen Mar, Pinesburg Softball Complex, Piper Lane, Pleasant

Valley, Ag Center, Regional Park, Wilson Bridge, Woodland Way, Black Rock Golf Course.

Results:

	# of Responses
Camp Harding	15
Chestnut Grove	14
Clear Spring Park	28
Devil's Backbone	102
Doub's Woods	105
Kemps Mill	7
Marty Snook Park	213
Mt. Briar Wetland	2
Pen mar	81
Pinesburg Softball	13
Piper Lane	2
Pleasant Valley	12
Ag Center	95
Regional Park	89
Wilson Bridge	4
Woodland Way	15
Blackrock Golf	33
Total	830




QF6: What events in the parks have you or your family attended?

Options: Bread baking class, Bass Masters show, Model Railroad show, Horse Show, Dog Show, Easter Egg Hunt, Master Gardeners Plant Sale, Tractor Pull, 4-H Camp, Pen Mar Concerts, Bark in the Park, Ag Expo, Rural Heritage/Living History, Other.

Results:

Other: Dualathlons, Halfway Park Days, Dog obedience class, Muddy Mamas, Youth Summer Camp, Family Picnics, Paws on Pavement, 5k Fun Runs, Heal programs, Disc Golf Tournaments.

	# of Responses
Bread Baking	2
Bass Masters	3
Model Railroad	9
Horse show	4
Dog show	2
Egg Hunt	24
Master Gardeners	26
Tractor Pull	2
4-H Camp	0
Pen Mar Concerts	16
Bark in the Park	7
Ag Expo	44
Rural Heritage	4
Other	52
Total	195



QF7: What additional activities/events would you like to see take place in County parks?


Responses: Fitness programs, music concerts, museums, track meets/running events, roller hockey, bike trails, one day events like little kid tennis, art classes, bird watching exhibits, pick up volleyball games, scavenger hunts/geocaching, craft shows, Zumba activities, dog parks, living history events, aquatics center, indoor turf fields, fields designed for State and Regional tournaments, camping, more swimming facilities, disc golf courses, bocce courts, movies in the parks, Petting zoos.

QF8: How do you find information about events that take place in our parks?

Options: Word of mouth, Internet, Brochures, Newspapers, Community Calendar, Other

	# of Responses
Word of Mouth	4
Internet	5
Brochures	1
Newspapers	3
Community Calendar	0
Other	11
Totals	24

QF8: How do you find information about events that take place in our parks?




QF9: Do you or a household member participate in league play, or organized activities at one of our parks?

Options: Yes, No

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Yes	66	17.2%
No	317	82.8%
Total	383	100.0%

QF9: Do you or a household member participate in league play or organized activities at one of our parks?




QF10: What type of league/organized activity do you or a household member participate in?

Options: Softball, Football, Basketball, Tennis, Flag Football, Volleyball, Little League Baseball,

Frisbee Golf, Golf

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Softball	19	22.1%
Football	7	8.1%
Basketball	4	4.7%
Tennis	7	8.1%
Flag Football	3	3.5%
Volleyball	9	10.5%
LL Baseball	0	0.0%
Frisbee Golf	15	17.4%
Golf	22	25.6%
Total	86	100.0%




QF11: Please indicate the other (non-league/organized) recreational activities that you or any member of your household participates in at the parks.

Options: Family picnics, Frisbee Golf, Walking/Hiking, Nature Watching, Quiet Relaxation, Other

Results:

	# of Responses
Picnics	171
Frisbee Golf	26
Walking/Hiking	218
Nature watching	108
Quiet Relaxation	121
Other	55
Total	699



Other responses: Playground, tennis, basketball, bicycle riding, running, disc golf, fishing, dog park, lacrosse, soccer, volleyball, swimming, geocaching.


QF12: Do you utilize the public pool at Marty Snook Park and what additional amenities would you like to see added to the pool?

Options: Yes, No

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Yes	100	26.7%
No	274	73.3%
Total	374	100.0%

QF12: Do you utilize the public pool at Marty Snook Park?



Additional amenities: Add splash park, umbrellas for shade, kids pool, adult swim times, water slide, better locker rooms and bathrooms, more benches,


QF13: Do you use the designated pet friendly area in parks and what additional parks would you recommend adding pet friendly areas?

Options: Yes, No

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Yes	78	21.5%
No	285	78.5%
Total	363	100.0%

QF13: Do you use the designated pet friendly area in parks?



What additional parks would you recommend adding pet friendly areas?: All, None, Pangborn, Black

Rock, Chestnut Grove, Pen Mar, Clear Spring.

QF14: What additional facilities/amenities would you like to see in our parks?

Results: Mountain biking, indoor pool, outdoor pool, roller hockey rink, nature trails, bike trails, bathrooms open year round, bicycle trails, water bottle filling station, more evening classes/events, concerts, splash park, additional handicap accessible playground equipment, fenced in playground areas, allow metal detecting, workout equipment, more dog parks, splash park, more Frisbee golf courses, bocce ball courts, benches, indoor sports facility, geocaching, indoor aquatics center, more walking/hiking trails, camping, more museums, more gardens.

QF15: What improvements or additions do you feel would enhance the park system?


Results: Unlock pedestrians gates, windmills at Ag Park, add tennis courts, more swing areas that combine seats for babies and adults, better hours for concessions, open bathrooms more often/year round, bike trails, more shade for spectators along sports fields, more benches at tot lots, do a better job of cleaning bathrooms, increase police patrols late at night, add more community pools, organized sports are over running un-organized events, add an indoor sports complex, better landscaping and lighting, add bocce ball courts, add artificial turf fields in County parks, more trees, better marketing of parks and events, more parks in the western area of the County, make fields more tournament friendly to bring in more revenue, indoor skate park.

Demographics:

QD1: Gender

Results:


	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Male	112	27.0%
Female	303	73.0%
Total	415	100.0%



QD2: Age.

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
under 12	4	1.0%
12-17	0	0.0%
18-24	6	1.4%
25-34	63	15.1%
35-44	139	33.4%
45-54	99	23.8%
55-64	72	17.3%
65-74	26	6.3%
over 75	7	1.7%
Totals	416	100.0%




QD3: Marital Status.

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Married	359	79.8%
Widowed	7	1.6%
Divorced	35	7.8%
Seperated	6	1.3%
Never Married	43	9.6%
Total	450	100.0%

Survey Demographics: Marital Status




QD4: What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Less than 8th grade	3	0.7%
Some High School	35	8.5%
High School Diploma/GED	40	9.8%
Some College	46	11.2%
Associates Degree	69	16.8%
Bachelors Degree	125	30.5%
Masters Degree	84	20.5%
Profesional Degree	0	0.0%
Doctorate	8	2.0%
Total	410	100.0%


Survey Demographics: Educational Experience



QD5: Please list the number of people besides you living in your household with their gender and age.

Results:

	# of Responses	
	Male	Female
0-12	148	147
12-17	82	87
18-24	53	45
25-34	38	29
35-44	79	64
45-54	82	54
55-64	49	21
65-74	19	14
75+	9	4
Total	559	465




QD6: Employment Status.

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
Employed	309	75.4%
Self-employed	26	6.3%
Out of work but looking	3	0.7%
Out of work not looking	0	0.0%
Homeworker	34	8.3%
Student	1	0.2%
Retired	35	8.5%
Unable to work	2	0.5%
Total	410	100.0%


Survey Demographics: Employment Status



QD7: Employment Type.

	# of Respondents	% of Response
For-profit for wages	145	45.0%
Non-profit	53	16.5%
Local government	65	20.2%
State government	32	9.9%
Federal government	0	0.0%
Self Employed	23	7.1%
Family Business or farm	4	1.2%
Total	322	100.0%


Survey Demographics: Employment Type



QD8: Housing.

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Response
Rent	42	10.4%
Own	362	89.6%
Total	404	100.0%




QD9: What is your Zip Code?

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Responses
21740	111	33.5%
21742	104	31.4%
21722	8	2.4%
21713	9	2.7%
21795	35	10.6%
21783	18	5.4%
Other	46	13.9%
Total	331	100.0%

Survey Demographics: Zip Code




QD10: What is your total household income?

Results:

	# of Respondents	% of Response
less than \$10,000	15	5.6%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	3	1.1%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10	3.7%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	12	4.5%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	22	8.2%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	27	10.1%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	29	10.8%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	41	15.3%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	32	11.9%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	36	13.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	13	4.9%
\$150,000 or more	28	10.4%
Total	268	100.0%

Survey Demographics: Income





This survey offers county residents the opportunity to share their current use of Washington County's recreation programs, program facilities, and parks. At the completion of the survey, respondents will be able to offer their suggestions for program improvements and facility enhancements.

Programs

The Program portion of the survey deals with county sponsored activities and other activities for which the county has arranged a facility.

QP1. Did you or members of your family participate in Washington County Recreation Department Programs during the past year?

◊ Yes

◊ No (Please Proceed to Question QP2)

Which programs did you or your family members participate in this year?

Youth Programs

- ◊ Youth Summer Day Camps
- ◊ Pre-School Play Camp
- ◊ Youth Swim Lessons
- ◊ Giggles and Wiggles
- ◊ Youth Dance
- ◊ Youth Basketball Skills Clinic
- ◊ Youth Tennis
- ◊ Youth Soccer
- ◊ Tiny Tot Soccer
- ◊ Youth Track Clubs
- ◊ Youth Volleyball
- ◊ Youth Karate

Youth Sports Leagues

- ◊ High School Basketball League
- ◊ High School Summer Soccer League
- ◊ High School Futsal Soccer League
- ◊ Girls Volleyball Skills League

Adult Leagues

- ◊ Soccer
- ◊ Volleyball
- ◊ Softball

Adult Fitness Programs

- ◇ Walking Club
- ◇ Zumba
- ◇ Work Out Your Way
- ◇ Ripped
- ◇ Spin Cycling
- ◇ Spin Fit
- ◇ Total Fitness in Williamsport
- ◇ Total Fitness Low Impact @ HCC
- ◇ Exercise in Clear Spring
- ◇ Ballet
- ◇ Tap Dancing
- ◇ Pilates

Adult Sports

- ◇ Karate
- ◇ Tennis
- ◇ Adult Indoor Futsel Soccer

Senior Programming

- ◇ Water Exercise
- ◇ Arthritis

Drop-In Programs

- ◇ Volleyball
- ◇ Basketball
- ◇ Hip Hop
- ◇ Zumba

Special Needs Programs

- ◇ Pool Side Splash

Special Events

- ◇ Princess Party
- ◇ Park @ Dark
- ◇ Spooky Sprint
- ◇ Super Heroes Party
- ◇ Spring Egg Hunt
- ◇ Phone Calls from Santa
- ◇ St. Patrick's Day Race
- ◇ Fitness Instructor Workshops and Certifications

QP2. How do you usually hear about Recreation Department Programs?

- | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| ◇ Word of Mouth | ◇ Newspapers |
| ◇ Internet | ◇ Community Calendar |
| ◇ Brochures | ◇ Other: _____ |

Facilities

QP3. What recreation facilities do you use?

- ◇ HCC
- ◇ Elementary School Recreation Centers (used for programs)
 - ◇ Maugansville Elementary School
 - ◇ Pangborn Elementary School
 - ◇ Rockland Woods Elementary School
 - ◇ 4-Star Athletic and Fitness Complex

QP4. How often do you visit a Community Recreation Elementary School Facility?

- ◇ Daily
- ◇ Weekly
- ◇ Occasionally
- ◇ Hardly Ever

QP5. List the facilities you visit:

- ◇ Maugansville Elementary School
- ◇ Pangborn Elementary School
- ◇ Rockland Woods Elementary School
- ◇ Ruth Ann Monroe Primary School

QP6. If there is a fee for a program, what would be the most convenient way for you to pay?

- ◇ Check
- ◇ Cash
- ◇ Credit Card
- ◇ Debit Card

Park Facilities

QF1. How close are you to a County park?

- ◇ Less than ½ mile
- ◇ Between ½ and 1 mile
- ◇ Between 1 mile and 5 miles
- ◇ More than 5 miles

QF2. Do you or a household member use any of the County Parks?

- ◇ Yes
- ◇ No (Please Proceed to Question QD1)

QF3. How often do you visit our County parks?

- ◇ Daily
- ◇ Weekly
- ◇ Monthly
- ◇ Occasionally
- ◇ Rarely

QF4. Please rate the condition of the park(s) you use.

- ◇ Excellent
- ◇ Good
- ◇ Fair
- ◇ Poor

QF5. Please select the County parks you use.

- Camp Harding Park
- Chestnut Grove Park
- Clear Spring Park
- Devil's Backbone Park
- Doub's Woods Park
- Kemps Mill Park
- Marty Snook Memorial Park
- Mt. Briar Wetland Preserve
- Pen Mar Park
- Pinesburg Softball Complex
- Piper Lane Neighborhood Park
- Pleasant Valley Park
- Washington County Agricultural Education Center (AG Ed Center)
- Washington County Regional Park
- Wilson Bridge Picnic Area
- Woodland Way Park
- Black Rock Golf Course

QF6. What events in the parks have you or your family attended?

- Bread Baking Class
- Bassmasters Show
- Model Railroad Show
- Horse Show
- Dog Show
- Easter Egg Hunt
- Master Gardeners Plant Sale
- Tractor Pull
- 4-H Camp
- Pen Mar Concerts
- Bark in the Park
- Ag Expo
- Rural Heritage Museum Tour / Living History Event
- Other: _____

QF7. What additional activities/events would you like to see take place in County Parks?

Please List: _____

QF8. How do you find out information about events that take place in our parks?

- Word of Mouth
- Internet
- Brochures
- Newspapers
- Community Calendar
- Other: _____

QF9. Do you or a household member participate in league play or organized activities at one of our parks?

- Yes
- No (Please Proceed to Question QF10)

QF10. What type of league/organized activity do you or a household member participate in?

- Softball
- Football
- Basketball
- Tennis
- Flag Football
- Volleyball
- Little League Baseball
- Frisbee Golf
- Golf

QF11. Please indicate the other (non-league organized) recreational activities you or any member of your household participates in at the parks.

- Family picnics
- Nature watching
- Frisbee Golf
- Quiet Relaxation
- Walking/Hiking
- Other: _____

QF12. Do you utilize the public pool at Marty Snook Park and what additional amenities would you like to see added at the pool?

- Yes
- No

Additional Amenities: _____

QF13. Do you use the designated pet friendly areas in parks and what additional parks would you recommend adding pet-friendly areas?

- Yes
- No

Park Recommendation: _____

QF14. What additional facilities/amenities would you like to see in our parks?

QF15. What improvements or additions do you feel would enhance the park system?

Please List: _____

Demographics

Your confidential responses in this section will help the Washington County Parks and Recreation Department determine who we serve, and point out populations that are underserved. We appreciate your assistance and time.

QD1. Gender

- Male
- Female

QD2. Age:

- 12-17
- 18-24
- 25-34
- 35-44
- 45-54
- 55-64
- 65-74
- 75 years or older

QD3. Marital Status:

- ◊ Now married
- ◊ Widowed
- ◊ Divorced
- ◊ Separated
- ◊ Never married

QD4. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? If currently enrolled, mark the previous grade or highest degree received.

- ◊ Nursery school to 8th grade
- ◊ Some High School
- ◊ High School Diploma/GED
- ◊ Some College
- ◊ Associate's Degree
- ◊ Bachelor's Degree
- ◊ Master's Degree
- ◊ Professional Degree
- ◊ Doctorate

QD5. Please list the number of people besides you living in your household with their gender and age.

0-12	M	_____	F	_____	45-54	M	_____	F	_____
12-17	M	_____	F	_____	55-64	M	_____	F	_____
18-24	M	_____	F	_____	65-74	M	_____	F	_____
25-34	M	_____	F	_____	75+	M	_____	F	_____
35-44	M	_____	F	_____					

QD6. Employment Status

- Are you currently...
 - ◊ Employed for wages
 - ◊ Self-employed
 - ◊ Out of work but looking for work
 - ◊ A homemaker
 - ◊ Out of work but not currently looking for work
 - ◊ A student
 - ◊ Retired
 - ◊ Unable to work

QD7. Employment Type

- Please describe your work.
 - ◊ Employee of a for-profit company or business or of an individual, for wages, salary, or commissions
 - ◊ Employee of a not-for-profit, tax-exempt, or charitable organization
 - ◊ Local government employee (city, county, etc.)
 - ◊ State government employee
 - ◊ Federal government employee
 - ◊ Self-employed in own incorporated business, professional practice or farm
 - ◊ Working without pay in family business or farm

QD8. Housing

- ◊ Rent
- ◊ Own

QD9. What is your zip code? _____

QD10. What is your total household income?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$10,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$60,000 to \$69,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,000 to \$19,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$70,000 to \$79,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$20,000 to \$29,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$80,000 to \$89,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$30,000 to \$39,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$90,000 to \$99,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$40,000 to \$49,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,000 to \$149,999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$50,000 to \$59,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$150,000 or more |

QD11. Email Address: _____



Washington County

M A R Y L A N D



publicaffairs@washco-md.net or 240.313.2380